

This second part is by...

2

THE
PRINCIPLES
OF
ALGEBRA:

1137.1(2)

OR
THE TRUE THEORY OF EQUATIONS
ESTABLISHED ON
MATHEMATICAL DEMONSTRATION.

PART THE SECOND.

BY
WILLIAM FREND.

LONDON:

SOLD BY
G. G. AND J. ROBINSON, PATERNOSTER-RROW; WHITE,
FLEET-STREET; RIDGEWAY, YORK-STREET,
ST.-JAMES'S-SQUARE; AND DEIGHTON,
CAMBRIDGE.

1799.

PRINCIPLES

A. I. G. E. B. R. A.

THE TRUE HISTORY OF EQUATIONS

THEORY

THEORY OF EQUATIONS

6 39 8
30



TO THE

REV. ROBERT TYRWHITT, M. A.

THIS VOLUME,

INDEBTED TO HIM, IN GREAT MEASURE,

FOR ITS EXISTENCE,

IS DEDICATED

BY THE AUTHOUR.

TO THE

REV. ROBERT TYRWHITT, M.A.

THE

LIBRARY OF THE

FOR THE

LIBRARY

BY THE

P R E F A C E.

FROM the age of Vieta, the father, to this of Maferes, the restorer of Algebra, many men of the greatest abilities have employed themselves in the pursuit of an idle hypothesis, and have laid down rules not founded in truth, nor of any sort of use in a science admitting in every step the plainest principles of reasoning. If the name of Sir Isaac Newton appears in this list, the number of the advocates for error must be considerable. It is, however, to be recollected, that for a much longer period, men scarcely inferior to Newton in genius, and his equals probably in industry, maintained a variety of positions in philosophy, which were overthrown by a more accurate investigation of nature; and, if the name of Ptolemy can no longer support his epicycles, nor that of Des Cartes his vortices, Newton's dereliction of the principles of reasoning cannot establish the fallacious notion, that every equation has as many roots as it has dimensions.

This

— This notion of Newton and others is founded on precipitation. Instead of a patient examination of the subject, an hypothesis, which accounts for many appearances, is formed : where it fails unintelligible terms are used : in these terms indolence acquiesces : much time is wasted on a jargon which has the appearance of science, and real knowledge is retarded. Thus volumes upon volumes have been written on the stupid dreams of Athanasius, and on the impossible roots of an equation of n dimensions.

It was very early perceived that equations in certain forms admitted several roots, and that by multiplying together complex terms in certain forms, terms similar to these equations might be produced. Hence, from the natural desire of mankind to come as soon as possible to a general conclusion, the thought suggested itself, that all equations might be produced by a multiplication of similar complex terms, and that the analogy which had been observed between the coparts and roots of certain equations might be extended in general to all equations. The idea thus advanced was without sufficient attention to the natural difference, which subsists in the different order of equations, adopted. It is true, that all the equations which have more than one term may be formed by the multiplication of two or more complex terms ; but it depends

depends upon the form of an equation, whether it admits of a multiplication by a number of complex terms in the forms proposed equal to the highest index of the given equation. Thus let

$$x^2 + ax = k.$$

Let the root of the above equation be equal to b , then $\overline{x - b} \times \overline{x + a + b}$ is equal to $x^2 + ax - k$; but a and b are added to x and consequently $a + b$ cannot be a root of the given equation. In the same manner let

$$x^m + ax^n + bx^o + cx^p + dx^q \dots \dots = k.$$

If l is one root, then $x - l$ multiplied into some complex term may form the equation; but this complex term is equal to an assignable number, and the number substituted for x in this complex term, which makes it equal to the assignable number, cannot be the root of the proposed equation. With true reasoners this would have been sufficient to make them reject all thoughts of producing every equation by the multiplication of a number of complex terms equal to the highest index in the given equation; but unfortunately a fiction was introduced, and science was placed upon a level with the chicanery of courts of law in some countries, where the first step to obtain redress for certain injuries commences with a falsehood. Though it is certain that in the instance above, where $\overline{x + a} \times \overline{x + a + b}$ produces the given

PART II.

b

equation,

equation, $a + b$ is added to x , yet by a strange fiction it was to be supposed that $a + b$ is taken from x , and that $a + b$ is equal to a number c , to be called either a negative or an impossible number. The nature of these fictitious numbers now became an object of inquiry, and, instead of searching after the roots of an equation, even grave men, imitating the philosophers of a well-known region, who were extracting sun-beams from cucumbers, wasted the midnight oil just as profitably in settling the rights and privileges of impossible quantities.

The fruits of their lucubrations I sucked in with the first milk of alma mater; and, if the good old lady had not driven me from my books and from studies of a much superiour nature, it is not improbable that I might still have looked at these subjects through her spectacles. It is of little consequence to the publick how, or why, I came back to my former studies; suffice it, that being no longer of an age nor of a disposition to take for granted an old wive's tale, I started at the postulate to make a load of corn out of a bushel. So strange a demand now excited my inquiry into the principles of a science whose objects are all of a determinate nature, and after considerable researches I discovered the direct demonstrations which this volume contains. A specimen of them I gave
last

last summer in a Letter * to the Vice Chancellor of the University of Cambridge on the vacancy of a professorship, which was filled up by one whose pretensions to be a candidate were, in the opinion of most people, against the spirit, and, in that of many, against the letter of the statute. In my opinion his election was against both; but, if he does any thing worthy of that professorship, I shall not be very scrupulous about his right of obtaining it, and shall not, most assuredly, in these times, disturb him in the possession of it.

In this work the number of roots in an equation is determined, not by a fiction, but on certain and undeniable principles; a direct demonstration is given of the relation of the coparts and roots in several equations, and the mode pointed out for all equations; the limiting equations are discovered by a simple principle which appears to me capable of great extension. In this and similar questions fluxions are generally applied, that is, the new and unnecessary quality of velocity is introduced in questions depending on mere addition and subtraction. My principle is simply this. Let a and b be certain numbers,

* A Letter to the Vice Chancellor of the University of Cambridge, by William Frend, Candidate for the Lucasian Professorship. Price 6d. White, Fleet-street; and Flower and Deighton, Cambridge.

z and y variable numbers; then if

$$a = b + A z - B y + C z^2 - D y^2 + E z^3 - F y^3 \dots$$

the difference between the variable terms added and those subtracted must be equal either to a given number or to nothing, otherwise a would be a variable number. Now the difference cannot in my demonstrations be in any case a given number, for both z and y may be taken less than any assignable number. Consequently, if this difference was any determinate number, when z and y were each equal to some given numbers, this difference, when z and y were both made less than any assignable number, would be less than the preceding determined number, that is, the difference would be a variable number which might be expressed by v , and then a would be equal to $b \pm v$, which is impossible, for a can be equal to only one number. The advantage of this demonstration is, that z and y may be tried in any given equation, and the result made evident to the learner in every instance. If $12x - x^2 = 20$, then the limiting equation $12 = 2x$ or $x = 6$, and if z is made equal to unity then y is equal to unity, and 6 ± 1 being substituted for x in the given equation produce the same results; if $z = 2$, then $y = 2$, and so on *.

* See Pages 26 — 29, 67 — 69.

I have also given in this work a considerable number of examples, particularly from Raphson; for I have found by experience that a learner, who is satisfied with general expressions and general demonstrations without trying the result in practice seldom acquires any solid knowledge, and accordingly it is a great point with me in my present mode of teaching to accompany in every instant the theory with practice. The methods adopted by several eminent mathematicians in the solution of equations are pointed out with their merits and defects: and here I ought particularly to observe that the demonstration given in pages 13 and 14 is taken chiefly from Dr. Hutton's essays; and, though I cannot attribute to him the whole merit of that method, I can easily conceive that he did not derive any assistance from others; and his great merits will not suffer by the just tribute of applause being bestowed on De Lagny his predecessor in this discovery. By the comparison of my mode of dividers with that of Dr. Hutton's by double position, pages 96, 97, I do not by any means consider the question as thoroughly decided, for though I have no doubt in my own mind on the preference of my mode to his in all the forms of equations, which are the subject of this work, I must leave to the learner and to farther inquiry on my own part those equations, where the unknown term is under

der a radical band, and where at present I conjecture only that my mode has the advantage.

It might be proper here to acknowledge again the egregious oversight committed in the first part of my Algebra, page 213, if I had not already done it in the Monthly Magazine, and to my mistake the publick is indebted for an appendix, in which Baron Maseres has given a complete examination of Cardan's rule, and a most accurate comparison of Ferrari's solution, with that of Raphson, by his method of approach. I may presume also to hope that the tutors of the University of Cambridge will recommend to their pupils the five last pages of that appendix to rectify their notions on the fiction of negative and impossible quantities; and, if they dilate on the concluding paragraph, they will probably make an interesting comparison between the similar fictions of modern algebraists and antient divines.

WILLIAM FREND.

N^o 9, Inner Temple-lane,

CONTENTS.

	Page
SUBJECT of the work - - -	1
General division of equations - -	2
Solution of equations of the first class, by extraction	4
——— by logarithms - - -	5
——— by approach - - -	7
——— by approach improved - -	10
——— by a general expression - -	13
——— by the mode of dividers - -	17
Equations of the second class - -	20
Number of roots in each form - -	20
Relation of the coparts and roots in one mode	25
——— when there is but one root in this mode	26
——— the same proved in another mode -	27
——— example - - - -	28
General expression of the root in terms of the coparts when there is only one root -	29
Relation in general of the coparts and roots -	30
To make equations in this class with one or more roots - - - -	33
Relation between the coparts and roots of two equations which admit only of one root each	37
Solution of equations of this class - -	40
Equations of the third class - -	47
Number of roots in each form - -	48
Relation of the coparts and roots in one mode of the third order - - -	51

From

C O N T E N T S.

From one root to discover the other two roots	52
Instance - - - - -	54
Relation between the coparts and roots in this mode when there are only two roots -	56
To discover one root from another in certain modes having only two roots - -	61
Relation of the coparts and root when there is only one root - - - - -	63
Limits of the two roots in these modes -	64
Discovery of the expression for one root when there is only one in these modes - -	67
Solution of equations in the above mode -	72
Solution of equations having only one root -	77
A new method of discovering the number of roots in a mode capable of having three roots	84
Instances - - - - -	86
Change of equations - - - - -	92
Roots discovered from this change - -	94
Rule of double position - - - - -	96
Comparison of this rule with the mode of dividers	99
Halley's mode of solution - - - - -	100
Equations of the fourth class - - - - -	101
To find relations of the coparts and roots in equa- tions of this class having four roots - -	104
Solution of equations in this class - -	108
General observations - - - - -	113

PRINCIPLES OF ALGEBRA.

PART THE SECOND.

CHAP. I.

ON EQUATIONS IN GENERAL.

FROM the former part of this work it appears, that some equations have more roots than one: and as the discovery of the roots of equations is a principal part of Algebra, a person cannot be a proficient in the science, unless he shall be able, on certain grounds, to determine how many roots an equation in any form proposed to him can admit. The Appendix has also shewn with what difficulty the solution of several equations must be attended; and, as this difficulty increases with the number of terms on the unknown side of an equation, it will be of use to examine the means of shortening this labour, and of confining the first approaches to the roots within some assignable limits. Hence this part of the principles of Algebra will be confined chiefly to these two enquiries; into

PART II. B the

the number of roots in any equation, and into the limits within which these roots are confined ; and though the forms of equations are more numerous than the leaves of autumn in Vallombrosa ; and out of the myriads of these forms, the ingenuity of man has not hitherto discovered a general expression for the root in one form out of a million ; yet, by certain methods, the root of any equation which can be expressed by decimal arithmetick, will, by a degree of labour proportional to the number of figures in the root and the number of terms in the equation, be discovered.

As the forms of equations are so numerous, it will be expedient to adopt some mode of dividing them into classes : and these classes should be, if possible, of such a nature, that a general property may go through the forms of every class. There is, first, a general division of equations according to the nature of their unknown terms ; for they may admit either of only one unknown number with its powers, or of several unknown numbers with their powers ; or the index of the number in any term may be an unknown number. Thus the equation :

$x \pm a x^{n-1} \mp b x^{n-2} \pm c x^{n-3} \dots = k$ has on one side only x and its powers.

The equation

$x \pm v x^{n-1} \mp v y x^2 \pm v y z x^{n-2} \mp \dots = k$
has several unknown numbers $v, y, z, \&c.$ with their powers.

The

The equation

$a \pm b a^{x-1} y + c a^{x-2} \pm d a^{x-3} \dots = k$ has not only simple unknown numbers with their powers; but the indexes also of several numbers are unknown.

Of these equations, the first only will make the principal part of this enquiry; namely, those forms in which a simple unknown number or its powers are involved; and equations of this kind will be classed according to the number of terms on the unknown side. Thus all equations will be considered of the first class, which have only one unknown term, and consequently only one root; and these equations come under the form $x^n = k$.

Equations of the second class have only two unknown terms, and cannot have more than two roots, and are reducible to one or the other of the three forms:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{m+n} + a x^n &= k. \\ x^{m+n} - a x^n &= k. \\ a x^n - x^{m+n} &= k. \end{aligned}$$

Equations of the third class have three unknown terms; of the fourth class, four unknown terms, and so of the higher classes. One general rule pervades all equations: namely, that no equation in any class can have more roots than it has unknown terms; and in the forms of each class the number of roots depends partly upon the coparts of the unknown terms, and partly upon the

changes of the marks of adding or taking away. In equations whose unknown terms are ranged according to the order of their powers, there can be only one root, if there is only one or no change of the marks; and in equations, not ranged according to the order of the powers, there will be only one root, if there is a certain relation between the known term of the coparts of the unknown terms. Thus equations in these forms

$$x^n + a x^{n-1} + b x^{n-2} + \dots + \dots + \dots + = k$$

$$x^n - a x^{n-1} - b x^{n-2} - \dots - \dots - \dots - = k,$$

can have only one root.

C H A P. II.

EQUATIONS OF THE FIRST CLASS.

Equations of the first class, after bringing the known terms to one side, and the unknown to the other, and freeing the unknown term of its known parts, are of this form :

$$x^n = k.$$

To find therefore the number x , the n^{th} root of k is to be found.

If $n = 2$, the second root may be found by the rules laid down in the first Part (page 92, and the following pages).

If

If $n = 3$, the third root may be found by the rules laid down in the first Part, (page 96, and the following).

If $n = 4$, the fourth root may be found upon similar principles, and so on for any number n .

But these methods of finding the root are very troublesome, especially in the higher powers; and therefore, when the root is contained within six or seven figures, it is discovered in the easiest manner by the logarithmical tables; or, if a number is required which shall approach as nearly as possible to the root of a given number, the first six or seven figures are discovered in the easiest manner by logarithms. Thus, let

$$x^2 = 2.$$

$$\therefore 2, \text{Log. } x = \text{Log. } 2 = ,30103$$

$$\therefore \text{Log. } x = ,150515$$

$$\text{Log. } 1,41421 = ,1505139.$$

$$\text{Diff. between Log. } 1,414210 \text{ and Log. } 1,414220 = ,0000031.$$

$$\frac{\text{Diff.}}{10} = ,00000031.$$

$$\therefore \frac{3 \cdot \text{Diff.}}{10} = ,00000093.$$

$$\therefore \text{Log. } 1,414213 = ,1505139 + \left. \begin{array}{l} + \\ + \end{array} \right\} ,00000093 = ,15051483.$$

$$\text{Log. } 1,4142130 = ,15051483$$

$$\text{Log. } 1,4142140 = ,15051514.$$

$$\therefore \text{Diff.} = ,00000031.$$

∴

(6)

$$\therefore \frac{\text{Diff.}}{10} = ,000000031$$

$$\therefore \frac{5 \text{ Diff.}}{10} = ,000000155.$$

$$\text{Add Log. } 1,4142130 = ,15051483$$

$$\therefore \text{Log. } 1,4142135 = ,150514985.$$

$$\text{Log. } 1,4142135 = ,150514985$$

$$\text{Log. } 1,4142136 = ,150515016$$

$$\therefore \text{Diff.} = ,000000031$$

$$\frac{\text{Diff.}}{10} = ,0000000031$$

$$\therefore \frac{6 \text{ Diff.}}{10} = ,0000000186$$

$$\text{Add Log. } 1,4142135 = ,150514985.$$

$$\therefore \text{Log. } 1,41421356 = ,1505150036;$$

but ,1505150036 is greater than ,150515; therefore 1,41421356 is by the tables greater than the second root of two, which is not true, for the second root of two is greater than 1,41421356, and the error is owing to the defect in the tables, which are calculated only to seven places of decimals. But the figures 1,4142135 are right.

$$\text{Let } x^3 = 37945.$$

$$\therefore 3 \text{ Log. } x = \text{Log. } 37945 = 4,5791546$$

$$\therefore \text{Log. } x = 1,526384866 \dots$$

$$\therefore x = 33,603522 \dots$$

The first seven figures are true.

Let

$$\text{Let } x^4 = 2741583974.$$

$$\text{Suppose } x = 10y.$$

$$\therefore 10^4 y^4 = 2741583974$$

$$\therefore y^4 = 274158,3974$$

$$\therefore 4 \text{ Log. } y = \text{Log. } 274158,3 \text{ nearly} = 5,43800168$$

$$\therefore \text{Log. } y = 1,35950042$$

$$\therefore y = 22,882330 \dots$$

$$\therefore x = 228,82330 \dots$$

The seven first figures are true.

$$\text{Let } x^5 = 2327834559873.$$

$$\text{Suppose } 100y = x$$

$$\therefore \overline{100}^5 y^5 = 2327834559873$$

$$\therefore y^5 = 232,7834559873$$

$$\therefore 5 \text{ Log. } y = \text{Log. } 232,78345 \text{ nearly,} = 2,366952110$$

$$\therefore \text{Log. } y = ,473390422$$

$$\therefore y = 2,9743381 \dots$$

$$\therefore x = 297,43381 \dots$$

The seven first figures are true.

If tables of Logarithms are not at hand, recourse may be had to the mode of discovering the root by gradual approaches to it; for the first figures being found, the unknown number may be made equal to the sum or difference of the known number and another unknown number.

$$\text{Let } x^n = k.$$

And suppose x to lie between the two numbers c and d .

Then suppose $x = c - z$ or $d + z$

$$\therefore \overline{c - z}^n = k = \overline{d + z}^n$$

∴

$$\therefore c^n - n c^{n-1} z + n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot c^{n-2} z^2 \dots = k$$

$$\text{or } d^n + n d^{n-1} z + n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} d^{n-2} z^2 \dots = k$$

Neglect all the powers of z .

$$\therefore c^n - n c^{n-1} z = k \quad \therefore z = \frac{c}{n} - \frac{k}{n c^{n-1}} =$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{c - k}{c^{n-1}}$$

$$d^n + n d^{n-1} z = k \quad \therefore z = \frac{k}{n d^{n-1}} - \frac{d}{n} =$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{k - d}{d^{n-1}}$$

Hence, by taking away z thus found from c by the first expression, or by adding it to d as found by the second expression, a number nearer to x in the given equation than either c or d is found. Let these numbers be e or f , and, by proceeding as before, a number still nearer to x is found, and so on for ever.

Let $x^2 = 2$.

If $x = 1$, $x^2 = 1$; and if $x = 2$, $x^2 = 4$. Therefore x is between one and two

Let $x = 1 + z$.

$$\therefore 1 + 2z + z^2 = 2$$

neglecting z^2

$$z = \frac{2-1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = ,5 \text{ which is too great for } z =$$

$$,5 - \frac{z^2}{2}$$

$$\therefore x > 1,5.$$

Let $x = 1,5 - z$.

$$\therefore \overline{1,5}^2 - 2 \cdot 1,5 z + z^2 = 2;$$

neglecting

(9)

neglecting z^2 ,

$$\overline{1,5}^2 - 2 = 3,0 z.$$

$$\therefore z = ,5 \times 1,5 - \frac{2}{3} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ,75 - \\ - ,666 \end{array} \right\} = ,083$$

which is too small.

$$\therefore x > 1,5 - ,083$$

$$\therefore x > 1,4166.$$

Since x is less than $1,4166$, it may be either greater or less than $1,416$. Therefore make $x = 1,416 \pm z$;

$$\therefore \overline{1,416}^2 \pm 2 \cdot 1,416 \cdot z + z^2 = 2.$$

\therefore neglecting z^2 ,

$$\overline{1,416}^2 \approx 2 = 2 \cdot 1,416 z$$

$$\therefore z = \frac{1,416}{2} \approx \frac{1}{1,416}$$

$$= ,708 \approx ,706214689$$

$$= ,001785311 \text{ too small}$$

$$\therefore x = 1,414214688 \dots$$

Make $x = 1,414214$, and proceed as before.

$$\text{Let } x^3 = 37945.$$

Suppose $x = 10 y$.

$$\therefore 10 y^3 = 37945$$

$$\therefore y^3 = 37,945$$

$\therefore y$ is between 3 and 4, but nearest to 3.

Let it therefore be equal to $3 + z$.

$$\therefore 3^3 + 3 \cdot 3^2 z + 3 \cdot 3 z^2 + z^3 = 37,945,$$

neglecting z^2 and z^3 ;

$$3 \cdot 3^2 z = 37,945 - 3^3$$

$$\therefore z = \frac{37,945}{9 \cdot 3} - 1$$

PART II.

C

=

(10)

$$= \frac{4,2161}{3} - 1$$

$$= 1,40537 - 1 = ,40537 \text{ too great.}$$

$$\therefore y > 3,40537.$$

$$\text{Let } y = 3,4 - z.$$

$$\therefore \overline{3,4}^3 - 3 \cdot \overline{3,4}^2 z + \dots = 37,945$$

$$\therefore \overline{3,4}^3 - 37,945 = 3 \cdot \overline{3,4}^2 \cdot z$$

$$\therefore \frac{3,4}{3} - \frac{37,945}{3 \cdot \overline{3,4}^2} = z = \frac{1,133333}{1,09414}$$

$$= ,039818 \text{ too small.}$$

$$\therefore y > 3,36081.$$

$$\text{Make } y = 3,36 \pm z.$$

From these instances it appears, that by every operation a nearer approach is made to the required root; and in such laborious work it is useful to adopt every method for shortening the number of operations. Now this will be done by a slight attention to the neglected powers of z .

Thus, in the instance $x^2 = 2$, z is found to be $,5 - \frac{z^2}{2}$.

Consequently z cannot be equal to $,5$; nor $\frac{z^2}{2}$ to $\frac{,25}{2}$, or $,125$. Therefore if $,125$ is taken from $,5$ the result $,375$ will give a number less than z . Consequently z is between the numbers $,5$ and $,375$; and it is nearer to $,375$ than to $,5$. Hence, instead of using $1,5 - z$ for the next approach, it would be expedient and justifiable to try $1,4 \pm z$ for x .

(11)

$$\therefore \overline{1,4}^2 \pm 2 \cdot 1,4 \cdot z + z^2 = 2$$

$$\therefore 1,4 \pm 2 \cdot z + \frac{z^2}{1,4} = \frac{2}{1,4} = \frac{1}{,7} = 1,428571.$$

From this equation it appears z is to be added to $1,4$; and consequently the equation becomes

$$2z = 1,428571 - 1,4 - \frac{z^2}{1,4}$$

$$\therefore z = \frac{,028571428}{2} \dots - \frac{z^2}{2 \cdot 1,4}$$

$$\therefore z = ,0142857 \dots - \frac{z^2}{2 \cdot 1,4}$$

Hence z is less than $,0142857, \dots$ and z^2 is nearly equal to $\overline{,014}^2$. Therefore $\frac{z^2}{2 \cdot 1,4} = \frac{\overline{,014}^2}{2 \cdot 1,4}$ nearly = $\frac{,001 \times ,014}{2 \times 0,1} = \frac{,000014}{2 \cdot 0,1} = ,00007$ nearly.

$$\therefore z = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ,0142857 \\ -,00007 \end{array} \right\} = ,0142157 \text{ too great.}$$

$$\therefore x = 1,414215 \pm z.$$

By proceeding in this manner another approach will be made, which will double the number of figures.

In the instance $y^3 = 37,945$ the equation derived was $3^3 + 3 \cdot 3^2 z + 3 \cdot 3 z^2 + z^3 = 37,945$ (see page 9)

$$\therefore 3 \cdot z = \frac{37,945}{9} - 3 - z^2 - \frac{z^3}{9}$$

C 2

..

$$\therefore 3z = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 4,2161 \dots - z^2 - \frac{z^3}{9} \\ -3, \end{array} \right\} = 1,2161 \dots$$

$$- z^2 - \frac{z^3}{9}.$$

$$\therefore z = ,40537037037 - \frac{z^2}{3} - \frac{z^3}{3.9}$$

$$\therefore \frac{z^2}{3} = \frac{,16}{3} \text{ nearly} = ,0533$$

$$\frac{z^3}{3.9} = \frac{,064}{3.9} = ,00237 \dots$$

$$\therefore z = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ,40537037 \\ - ,0557 \end{array} \right\} = ,3496 \dots$$

$$\therefore y = 3,35 \pm z$$

$$\therefore \overline{3,35}^3 \pm 3 \cdot \overline{3,35}^2 z + 3 \cdot 3,35 z^2 \pm z^3 = 37,945$$

$$\therefore 3,35 \pm 3z + \frac{3 \cdot z^2}{3,35} \pm \frac{z^3}{\overline{3,35}^2} = \frac{37,945}{\overline{3,35}^2} = \frac{7,589}{,67 \times 3,35}$$

$$= \frac{1,5178}{,67 \times ,67} = 3,381153 \dots$$

$$\therefore 3z = 0,0311538 - \frac{3z^2}{3,35} - \frac{z^3}{\overline{3,35}^2}$$

$$\therefore z = 0,0103846 - \frac{z^2}{3,35} - \frac{z^3}{\overline{3,35}^2}$$

$$\therefore \frac{z^2}{3,35} = \frac{\overline{,0103}^2}{3,35} = ,000031669$$

$$\therefore z = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0,0103846 \\ - ,00003166 \end{array} \right\} = ,01035297 \text{ nearly.}$$

$$\therefore y = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 3,35 \\ + ,0103529 \end{array} \right\} = 3,3603529 \text{ nearly.}$$

$$\therefore x = 33,60352 \pm z.$$

Hence

Hence it appears, that by taking two or three of the figures first found for z , and thence obtaining a number nearly equal to z^2 , a correction may be made to z first found; and thus a greater number of true figures will be found in each operation, and consequently the labour upon the whole will be very much shortened.

As the great object in all the operations is to make $\frac{n-1}{2} \frac{z^2}{a}$ bear a very small proportion to z ; a general expression for the first near number to the root may, when logarithmical tables are not at hand, be applied with great success.

Let $x^n = k$ and a be a number nearly equal to x .

$$\therefore \overline{a + z}^n = k$$

$$\therefore a^n + n a^{n-1} z + n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} a^{n-2} z^2 + \dots = k$$

$$\therefore n a^{n-1} z + n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} a^{n-2} z^2 + \dots = k - a^n$$

$$\therefore z + \frac{n-1}{2} \frac{z^2}{a} + \frac{n-1}{2} \cdot \frac{n-2}{3} \frac{z^3}{a^2} + \dots$$

$$= \frac{k - a^n}{n a^{n-1}} = \frac{k - a^n}{n a^n} \times a$$

\therefore by neglecting z^2 and the higher powers,

$$z = \frac{k - a^n}{n a^n} \times a \text{ nearly.}$$

\therefore

$$\therefore a + z = \frac{k - a^n}{n a^n} \times a + a.$$

This expression $\frac{k - a^n}{n a^n} \times a + a$ is greater than x .

$$\text{Since } k = a^n + n a^{n-1} z + n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} a^{n-2} z^2 + \dots$$

$$\dots = A.$$

Multiply both sides by $\overline{n-1}$; and add a^n to each side.

$$\therefore \overline{n-1} \cdot k + a^n = n a^n + n \cdot \overline{n-1} \cdot a^{n-1} z + \overline{n-1} \cdot n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} a^{n-2} z^2 + \dots = B.$$

Also multiply the former equation A, both sides by a , and subtract a^{n+1}

$$\therefore \overline{k - a^n} \times a = n a^n z + n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} a^{n-1} z^2 + \dots = C$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \frac{C}{B} &= \frac{k - a^n}{n-1 \cdot k + a^n} \times a = \frac{n a^n z + n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} a^{n-1} z^2 + \dots}{n a^n + n \cdot \overline{n-1} \cdot a^{n-1} z + \dots} \\ &= \frac{n a^n z}{n a^n} \text{ nearly } = z \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore a + z = a + \frac{k - a^n}{n-1 \cdot k + a^n} \times a \text{ nearly.}$$

Hence, since $\frac{k - a^n}{n a^n} \times a + a$ is greater than x , and $a +$

$\frac{k - a^n}{n-1 \cdot k + a^n}$ is less than x , by adding together the two upper parts of these fractions for a new upper part, and the

the lower parts for a new lower part, a fraction will be found, which added to a is nearer to x than either of the other two terms* :

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{k - a^n} \times a + k - a^n \times a &= \overline{k - a^n} \times 2a = D \\ n a^n + \overline{n - 1} \cdot k + a^n &= \overline{n + 1} \cdot a^n + \overline{n - 1} k = E \\ \therefore a + \frac{D}{E} &= a + \frac{k - a^n}{n + 1 \cdot a^n + n - 1 \cdot k} \times 2a = x \text{ nearly } \dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Let

* If either of two fractions is nearly equal to a given number, the sum of their upper parts, divided by the sum of their lower parts, is still nearer to equality with that number than either of the two fractions.

$$\text{Let } \frac{a}{b} = m + x;$$

$$\frac{c}{d} = m - y;$$

$$\text{Then } a = b m + b x$$

$$\text{And } c = d m - d y$$

$$\therefore a + c = b m + d m + b x - d y$$

$$\therefore \frac{a + c}{b + d} = m + \frac{b x}{b + d} - \frac{d y}{b + d}$$

But $\frac{b x}{b + d}$ is less than x ; and $\frac{d y}{b + d}$ is less than y . Therefore $m + \frac{b x}{b + d} - \frac{d y}{b + d}$ is less than $m + x$; and consequently $\frac{a + c}{b + d}$ is nearer to m than $\frac{a}{b}$ is to m . And $m + \frac{b x}{b + d} - \frac{d y}{b + d}$ is greater than $m - y$. Therefore $\frac{a + c}{b + d}$ is nearer to m than $\frac{c}{d}$ is to m .

† This is De Lagny's expression. Dr. Hutton simplifies this expression in appearance by bringing it to one common lower part :

$a +$

Let $x^2 = 2$.

$$\therefore a = 1; k = 2; n = 2.$$

$$\therefore a + \frac{k - a^n}{n + 1 \cdot a^n + n - 1 \cdot k} \times 2a = 1 + \frac{2 - 1}{2 + 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 2} \\ \times 2 = 1 + \frac{1}{5} \times 2 = 1 + \frac{2}{5} = 1,4.$$

Again let $a = 1,4$.

$$\therefore \frac{k - a^n}{n + 1 \cdot a^n + n - 1 \cdot k} \times 2a = \frac{2 - \overline{1,4}^2}{3 \cdot \overline{1,4}^2 + 2} \times 2 \cdot 1,4 \\ = \frac{2 - \overline{1,4}^2}{3 \cdot ,7 \times 1,4 + 1} \times 1,4 = \frac{1 - ,7 \times 1,4}{3 \times ,7 \times ,7 + ,5} \times 1,4 = \\ \frac{1 - ,98}{1,47 + ,5} \times 1,4 = \frac{,02 \times 1,4}{1,97} = \frac{,028}{1,97} = ,0142319. \\ \therefore a + \frac{k - a^n}{n + 1 \cdot a^n + n - 1 \cdot k} \times 2a = 1,41421319 \dots \\ \dots = x.$$

Of these the first seven figures are true; and for the next approach a might be made equal to $1,4142132$: but the raising of so great a number to a second power is very laborious; and as the divider also consists of a great number of figures, though the result will give a greater number of figures than by the expression $x = \frac{k}{2a} - \frac{a}{2} - \frac{x^2}{2a}$, yet

$$a + \frac{k - a^n}{n + 1 \cdot a^n + n - 1 \cdot k} \times 2a = \frac{n + 1 \cdot a^{n+1} + n - 1 \cdot ak + 2ak - 2a^{n+1}}{n + 1 \cdot a^n + n - 1 \cdot k} \\ = \frac{n + 1 \cdot k + n - 1 \cdot a^n}{n + 1 \cdot a^n + n - 1 \cdot k} \times a; \text{ but he increases the trouble of the operation.}$$

this

this latter expression will be found far more convenient in practice.

$$\text{Let } x^3 = 37,945.$$

$$\therefore a = 3 \quad k = 37,945 \quad n = 3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \frac{k - a^3}{n + 1 \cdot a^n + n - 1 \cdot k} \times 2, a &= \frac{37,945 - 27}{4 \cdot 27 + 2 \cdot 37,945} \times 6 = \\ \frac{10,945 \times 3}{2 \times 27 + 37,945} &= \frac{2,189 \times 3}{,4 \times 27 + 7,589} = \frac{2,189 \times 3}{18,389} \\ &= \frac{,31271428571 \times 3}{2,627} = ,119038 \times 3 = ,3571 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore x = 3,3571.$$

Now let $a = 3,35$,

and the learner by pursuing a similar operation may compare the effects of it with De Lagny's method.

The mode of dividers may also be applied to equations in this class, for the discovery of a few of the first figures. Thus, let $x^2 = 2$; therefore if

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 1 \quad 2 \quad 1,5 \quad 1,4 \quad 1,414 \quad 1,415 \\ \frac{2}{x} &= 2 \quad 1 \quad 1,33 \quad 1,428 \quad 1,414422 \quad 1,413427 \\ \text{Diff.} &= \quad ,1\beta \quad ,028 \quad ,000422 \quad ,001572. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, x is between 1,5 and 1,40 but nearer to 1,4, and the diff. $,16 \div ,028 = ,188$.*

∴

* The sum of the differences in the third line may be divided into ten, twenty, thirty, &c. equal parts, according as their corresponding numbers in the upper line differ by 1, 2, 3, &c. and as often as one of these parts is contained in either of the lower differences, so many parts of 1

(18)

$$\therefore \frac{\text{Diff.}}{10} = ,0188$$

$$\text{and } 8 \times ,0188 = ,151 > ,16$$

$$\therefore 1,5 - ,08 \dots = x = 1,41 \dots$$

$$\text{and } ,0188 \times 1 > ,028$$

$$\therefore 1,4 + ,01 \dots = x = 1,41 \dots$$

$$\text{Also } \frac{,16}{,0188} = 8,51$$

$$\therefore 1,5 - ,0851 = 1,4148 \dots = x \text{ nearly.}$$

$$\frac{,028}{,0188} = 1,489$$

$$\therefore 1,4 + ,01489 = 1,414 \dots = x \text{ nearly.}$$

,2 ,3, &c. may be added to or taken from the corresponding upper numbers, and the result will be a number much nearer to the root required. Or, since the root lies between two numbers in the upper line, and the differences in the lower line are very nearly in the proportion of the excess of one number in the upper line above the root to the defect of the other number from the root, the following proportion will be nearly true :

$$,16 : ,028 :: 1 - z : z$$

$$\therefore ,16 z = ,0028 - ,028 z$$

$$\therefore ,188 z = ,0028$$

$$\therefore z = \frac{,0028}{,188} = ,01489$$

$$\therefore x = 14 + ,01489 = 14,1489 \text{ nearly.}$$

Again :

$$,028 : ,16 :: 1 - z : z$$

$$\therefore ,028 z = ,016 - ,16 z$$

$$\therefore ,188 z = ,016$$

$$z = \frac{,016}{,188} = ,0851$$

$$\therefore x = 1,5 - ,0851 = 1,4148 \dots \text{ nearly.}$$

For

For the first approach therefore x should be made
 $1,414 + z$

$$\text{Let } x^3 = 37,945.$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & = & 3 \quad 4 \quad 3,3 \\ \frac{37,945}{x} & = & 12,648 \cdot 9,4 \quad 11,49 \\ x^2 & = & 9 \quad 16 \quad 10,89 \\ \text{Diff.} & = & 3,648 \quad 0,60 \\ \frac{3,648 + 0,60}{30} & = & \frac{4,248}{30} = 1,416 \\ 34 \times 1,416 & = & ,5764 > ,60 \\ \therefore x & = & 3,3 + ,04 \dots = 3,34 \dots \end{array}$$

For the first approach therefore make $x = 3,34 + z_1$

$$\text{Let } y^5 = 232,7834559873 = k.$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y & = & 2 \quad 3 \\ \frac{k}{y} & = & 116 \quad 77 \\ y^4 & = & 16 \quad 81. \end{array}$$

Here y is very nearly equal to 3, and it will be better to make $y = 3 - z$ than to attempt further approaches by dividers, as the next trial must be made with 2,9 or 2,96.

C H A P. III.

EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND CLASS.

Equations of the second class have two unknown terms, and are all reducible to one or other of these forms.

$$1. x^{m+n} + a x^n = k$$

$$2. x^{m+n} - a x^n = k$$

$$3. a x^n - x^{m+n} = k$$

The two first forms admit only of one root; the third form admits of two roots. The first form admits only of one root: for $x^{m+n} + a x^n = x^n \times \overline{x^m + a}$, and both parts x^n , and $x^m + a$ increase or decrease together; and consequently the product increases or decreases with the increase or decrease of x : and, if this product is in any instance equal to k , by increasing or decreasing x the product must be made greater or less than k .

In the same manner $x^{m+n} - a x^n$ in the second form is equal to $x^n \times \overline{x^m - a}$, whose parts also both increase or decrease together, and consequently there can be but one root to an equation in this form.

But $a x^n - x^{m+n}$ in the third form is equal to $x^n \times \overline{a - x^m}$, whose parts do not increase and decrease together: for, if x^n increases, $a - x^m$ decreases; and, if x^n decreases, $a - x^m$ increases. Consequently, if this product is equal to k , when $x = d$, by increasing x the product may first increase and then decrease, and consequently

quently another number c greater than d may make $x^n \times$
 $a - x^m = k$. But this form does not admit of more
 than two roots. For the product increases by addition to
 x , from the least assignable number till it becomes the
 greatest possible, and then by farther addition to x it de-
 creases, till the product becomes nothing, and *vice versa*.

To prove this, let \dot{x} be a very small number, so small
 that its powers multiplied into any given number shall
 be less than any assignable number, and let \dot{x} added to
 or taken from $x = y$.

To take the simplest case, let $ax - x^2 = k$ and $y = x$
 $+ \dot{x}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore ay &= ax + a\dot{x} \\ y^2 &= x^2 + 2x\dot{x} + \dot{x}^2 \\ \therefore ay - y^2 &= ax - x^2 + a\dot{x} - 2x\dot{x} \text{ nearly} \\ \therefore \overline{ay - y^2} \oslash \overline{ax - x^2} &= a\dot{x} \oslash 2x\dot{x} \text{ nearly.} \\ \text{If } y &= x - \dot{x} \\ ay &= ax - a\dot{x} \\ y^2 &= x^2 - 2x\dot{x} + \dot{x}^2 \\ \therefore ay - y^2 &= ax - x^2 - a\dot{x} + 2x\dot{x} \text{ nearly} \\ \therefore \overline{ay - y^2} \oslash \overline{ax - x^2} &= 2x\dot{x} \oslash a\dot{x} \text{ nearly.} \end{aligned}$$

In the first case, by adding \dot{x} the term $ay - y^2$ is great-
 er than $ax - x^2$, if a is greater than $2x$ or $\frac{a}{2}$ greater
 than x : but, if a is less than $2x$, or $\frac{a}{2}$ less than x , then
 the term $ay - y^2$ is less than $ax - x^2$. Hence, the
 term

term $a x - x^2$ is increased by adding to x as long as x is less than $\frac{a}{2}$; but it is decreased by adding to x as long as x is greater than $\frac{a}{2}$.

In the second case, by taking away x the term $a y - y^2$ is greater than $a x - x^2$, when $2 x$ is greater than a , or x is greater than $\frac{a}{2}$: but, if $2 x$ is less than a , or x less than $\frac{a}{2}$, then $a y - y^2$ is less than $a x - x^2$. Hence, the term $a x - x^2$ is decreased by taking from x as long as x is less than $\frac{a}{2}$; but it is increased by taking from x as long as x is greater than $\frac{a}{2}$.

If therefore in the equation $a x - x^2 = k$, d less than $\frac{a}{2}$ is one root, then no other number less than $\frac{a}{2}$ can be a root; for, by adding to or taking away from d numbers till $d \pm z$ is equal to $\frac{a}{2}$, the term $a x - x^2$ must equal a number greater or less than k . But since, by adding to d till x becomes equal to $\frac{a}{2}$, the term $a x - x^2$ is increased, and then by further addition is decreased till it becomes nothing, there must be another number which will make $a x - x^2$ equal to k : and there can be only one

one number greater than $\frac{a}{2}$; for by adding now to x , the term $a x - x^2$ is constantly diminished.

The same may be proved generally.

Thus let $a x^n - x^{m+n} = k$.

$$\therefore x^n \times \overline{a - x^m} = k.$$

Let $y = x \pm \dot{x}$.

$\therefore y^n \times \overline{a - y^m} \cup x^n \times \overline{a - x^m}$ is equal to the increase or decrease of $x^n \times \overline{a - x^m}$ by substituting a number for x but nearly equal to it in the equation $a x^n - x^{m+n} = k$.

$$y^n = x^n \pm n x^{n-1} \dot{x} \text{ nearly;}$$

$$a - y^m = a - x^m \mp m x^{m-1} \dot{x} \text{ nearly;}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore y^n \times \overline{a - y^m} &= a x^n \pm n a x^{n-1} \dot{x} \\ &\quad - x^{m+n} \mp n x^{m+n-1} \dot{x} \\ &\quad \mp m x^{m+n-1} \dot{x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore y^n \times \overline{a - y^m} \cup x^n \times \overline{a - x^m} &= n a x^{n-1} \dot{x} \cup \\ n x^{m+n-1} \dot{x} + m x^{m+n-1} \dot{x} &= x^{n-1} \dot{x} \times n a \cup n + m. \\ x^m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the term $x^n \times \overline{a - x^m}$ constantly increases or decreases, whilst $n a$ is greater or less than $n + m \cdot x^m$; but if it increases when $n a$ is greater than $n + m \cdot x^m$, it decreases when $n + m \cdot x^m$ is greater than $n a$: and, if it decreases when $n a$ is less than $n + m \cdot x^m$, it increases when $n + m \cdot x^m$ is less than $n a$.

Instances.

Instances.

$$8x - x^2 = 15.$$

The roots are 5 and 3.

Substitute 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, for x

$$\therefore 8x - x^2 = 2 \times \overline{8 - 2} = 12$$

$$8x - x^2 = 1 \times \overline{8 - 1} = 7$$

$$8x - x^2 = 4 \times \overline{8 - 4} = 16$$

$$8x - x^2 = 6 \times \overline{8 - 6} = 12$$

$$8x - x^2 = 7 \times \overline{8 - 7} = 7.$$

In this case $na = 8$ and $\overline{n+m} \cdot x^n = 2x$.

Therefore, when na is greater than $\overline{n+m} \cdot x^m$, 8 is greater than $2x$, or 4 is greater than x ; and the numbers 1, 2, 3 substituted for x give results less than 4, but increasing; 5, 6, 7 give also results less than 4, and decreasing.

$$\text{Let } \frac{61}{9} x^2 - x^3 = \frac{400}{9},$$

whose roots are 4 and 5;

$$\text{let } x = 1, \text{ then } \frac{61}{9} x^2 - x^3 = \frac{52}{9}$$

$$x = 2 \qquad \qquad \qquad = \frac{172}{9}$$

$$x = 3 \qquad \qquad \qquad = \frac{306}{9}$$

$$x = 6 \qquad \qquad \qquad = \frac{252}{9}$$

$$x = 7 \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Impossible.}$$

The

The numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, give results increasing ; a number between 4 and 5 makes the result decrease : 5, 6 continue to make decreasing results ; if 7 is tried, there is no result.

Let $n = 1$ and $m = 2$.

$$\therefore a x^n - x^{m+n} = a x - x^2 = k.$$

Since this form admits of two roots, let them be c and d

$$\therefore a c - c^2 = k = a d - d^2$$

$$\therefore a c - a d = c^2 - d^2$$

$$\therefore a \times \overline{c - d} = c^2 - d^2$$

$$\therefore a = \frac{c^2 - d^2}{c - d} = c + d.$$

Hence, in an equation of this form a the copart of x is always equal to the sum of the roots.

Since also $a = c + d$

$$a c = c^2 + c d$$

$$\therefore a c - c^2 = c d$$

$$\text{but } a c - c^2 = k$$

$$\therefore k = c d.$$

Hence k the known term is in this form always equal to the product of the roots. Thus let $12x - x^2 = 32$.

The roots are 8 and 4, and

$$8 + 4 = 12 = a, \text{ and } 32 = 8 \times 4 = k.$$

PART II.

E

But

But in certain cases equations in this form will only have one root; namely, when the root is one half of a . For let m be the number which makes $a x - x^2 = G$, or the greatest possible number. Therefore, if x is made equal to $m + z$ or $m - y$, the product resulting will be less, and in both cases may be equal to a number k .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore a x - x^2 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a m + a z \\ -m^2 - 2 m z - z^2 \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a m - a y \\ -m^2 + 2 m y - y^2 \end{array} \right\} = k \\ \therefore a z + a y &= 2 m z + 2 m y + z^2 - y^2 \\ \therefore a \times z + y &= 2 m \times z + y + z^2 - y^2 \\ \therefore a &= 2 m + z - y. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since m and a are constant; but z or y may be taken at pleasure, $z - y$ must be either an invariable number, or equal to nothing,

But $z - y$ cannot be an invariable number, for, by decreasing z and y , the number $z - y$ may be less than any assignable number. Therefore z must be equal to y , and consequently $a = 2 m$.

$$\therefore m = \frac{a}{2}.$$

Consequently, if the root of the equation $a x - x^2 = k$ is $\frac{a}{2}$ there can be only one root. In this case $a \times$

$$\frac{a}{2} - \frac{a^2}{4} = k = \frac{a^2}{4}.$$

Therefore, if an equation of this form has only one root, that root is one half of the co-part of x , and the known

known term k is the second power of half the co-part a .

If $n = 1$ and $m = 3$; then
 $a x^n - x^m = k = a x - x^3$.

Let m be the number which, placed for x , makes $a x - x^3 = G$ the greatest possible. Therefore, as before, $m + z$ or $m - y$, substituted for x , will make $a x - x^3 = k$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore a x \left\{ \begin{array}{l} = a m + a z \\ - x^3 \end{array} \right. & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} = - m^3 - 3 m^2 z - 3 m z^2 - z^3 \\ a m - a y \end{array} \right\} = \\ - m^3 + 3 m^2 y - 3 m y^2 + y^3 & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} = k \\ \therefore a z + a y = 3 m^2 y + 3 m^2 z + 3 m z^2 - 3 m y^2 + z^3 + y^3 & \\ \therefore a \times z + y = 3 m^2 \times y + z + 3 m \times z^2 - y^2 + z^3 + y^3 & \\ \therefore a = 3 m^2 + 3 m \times z - y + \frac{z^3 + y^3}{z + y} & \end{aligned}$$

But $3 m \times z - y + \frac{z^3 + y^3}{z + y}$ must be equal to nothing.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore a &= 3 m^2 \\ \therefore m &= \frac{\sqrt{a}}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Example.

$$27 x - x^3 = 54.$$

In this case the equation can have only one root; for

$$x = 3 = \sqrt[3]{\frac{27}{3}}.$$

If x is made equal to one ;

Then $27x - x^3 = 26$; and this equation will have another root $= 4,6235$ nearly.

$$\therefore y = 2 \text{ and } z = 1,6235$$

$$\text{but } y^3 + z^3 = 3m \times y^2 - z^2$$

$$\therefore 8 + \overline{1,6235}^3 = 9 \times \overline{4 - 1,6235}^2$$

$$\therefore \overline{1,6235}^2 \times 9 + \overline{1,6235} = 36 - 8 = 28$$

$$\therefore \overline{1,6235}^2 \times 10,6235 = 28.$$

Take the three first figures of each part of the compound term 1,62 and 1,06.

1,62	2,6244
1,62	1,06
<hr style="width: 50px; margin: 0;"/>	<hr style="width: 50px; margin: 0;"/>
324	157464
972	262440
162	<hr style="width: 50px; margin: 0;"/>
<hr style="width: 50px; margin: 0;"/>	2,781864
2,6244	

Had more figures been taken for z , the product would have approached nearer to 28.

In the same manner, if $n = 1$ and $m = 3$ that is if $ax - x^4 = k$, it may be discovered whether the equation has one or two roots. For if it has only one root, that root

will be equal to $\sqrt[3]{\frac{a}{4}}$.

And in general it may be discovered whether an equation of the second class has two roots or not. Thus

$$ax^n - x^{m+n} = k.$$

Let

Let M be the number which makes $a x_n - x^{m+n} = G$
or the greatest possible number.

1st. Let $x = M + y$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore a x^n & \left\{ a M^n + n a M^{n-1} y + n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} a M^{n-2} y^2 \right. \\ & - x^{m+n} \left\{ -M^{m+n} - \overline{m+n} \cdot M^{m+n-1} y - \overline{m+n} \cdot \frac{m+n-1}{2} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \dots \dots \dots \right\}^k \right. \\ & \left. M^{m+n-2} y^2 + \dots \right\} \end{aligned}$$

2d. Let $x = M - z$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore a x^n & \left\{ a M^n + n a M^{n-1} z + n \cdot \frac{n-1}{2} a M^{n-2} \right. \\ & - x^{m+n} \left\{ -M^{m+n} + \overline{m+n} \cdot M^{m+n-1} z - \overline{m+n} \cdot \right. \\ & \left. z^2 + \dots \dots \dots \right\}^k \\ & \left. \frac{m+n-1}{2} M^{m+n-2} z^2 + \dots \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore n a M^{n-1} \times \overline{z+y} = \overline{m+n} \cdot M^{m+n-1} \times \overline{z+y} + A z^2 - B z^3 + C \cdot z^4.$$

$$\text{But } A z^2 - B z^3 + C z^4 \dots \dots = 0$$

$$\therefore n a M^{n-1} \times \overline{z+y} = \overline{m+n} \cdot M^{m+n-1} \times \overline{z+y}$$

$$\therefore n a M^{n-1} = \overline{m+n} \cdot M^{m+n-1}$$

$$\therefore \frac{n a}{m+n} = M^m$$

$$\therefore M = \sqrt[m]{\frac{n a}{m+n}}$$

Since

(30)

$$\text{ince } M = \sqrt[m]{\frac{n a}{m+n}}$$

$$M^m = \frac{n a}{m+n}$$

$$\therefore a = M^m \times \frac{m+n}{n}$$

But, since $a x^n - x^{m+n} = k$

$$a = \frac{k + x^{m+n}}{x^n}$$

That is, when $x = M$

$$a = \frac{k + M^{m+n}}{M^n} = M^m \times \frac{m+n}{n}$$

$$\therefore k + M^{m+n} = M^{m+n} \times \frac{m+n}{n}$$

$$\therefore k = M^{m+n} \times \frac{m+n}{n} - M^{m+n} = M^{m+n} \times \frac{m}{n}$$

To find the relation between a , k , and the roots of the equation $a x^n - x^{m+n} = k$.

Let the roots be c and d .

$$\therefore a c^n - c^{m+n} = k = a d^n - d^{m+n}$$

$$\therefore a c^n - a d^n = c^{m+n} - d^{m+n}$$

$$\therefore a \times \overline{c^n - d^n} = c^{m+n} - d^{m+n}$$

$$\therefore a = \frac{c^{m+n} - d^{m+n}}{c^n - d^n}$$

$$\therefore a = c^m + d^m + \frac{c^m d^n - d^m c^n}{c^n - d^n}$$

Hence,

Hence, if m is equal to n , a is equal to the sum of the m^{th} powers of the roots of the equation; for in this case $c^m d^n - d^m c^n = 0$.

If m is greater than n , a is greater than the sum of the m^{th} powers of the roots. For in this case $\frac{c^m d^n - d^m c^n}{c^n - d^n}$ is a number to be added to $c^m + d^m$.

If m is less than n , then $d^m c^n$ is greater than $c^m d^n$, and a number equal to $\frac{d^m c^n - c^m d^n}{c^n - d^n}$ is to be taken away from $c^m + d^m$.

Instances.

1st. $m = n$.

$$12x - x^2 = 32.$$

The roots 8 and 4

$$a = c + d = 8 + 4 = 12.$$

2d. $m < n$

$$27x - x^3 = 26.$$

The roots are 1 and 4,6235

$$a < 1 + 4,6235 \text{ or } 5,6235$$

3d. $m > n$

$$\frac{61}{9}x^2 - x^3 = \frac{400}{9}.$$

The roots are 4 and 5.

$$4 + 5 = 9 = \frac{81}{9} < \frac{61}{9} \text{ or } a.$$

Since

Since $a x^n - x^{m+n} = k$

$$a = \frac{k + x^{m+n}}{x^n} = \frac{k + c^{m+n}}{c^n} = \frac{k + d^{m+n}}{d^n}.$$

$$\therefore k d^n + c^{m+n} d^n = k c^n + d^{m+n} c^n$$

$$\therefore c^{m+n} d^n - d^{m+n} c^n = k c^n - k d^n$$

$$\therefore c^n d^n \times \overline{c^m - d^m} = k \times c^n - d^n$$

$$\therefore k = c^n d^n \times \frac{c^m - d^m}{c^n - d^n}.$$

Hence, if $m = n$, k is equal to the product of the n th powers of the roots.

If m is greater than n , k is greater than the product of the n th powers of the roots.

If m is less than n , k is less than the product of the n th powers of the roots.

Instances.

$$\text{1st, } 12 x - x^2 = 32$$

The roots are 8 and 4.

$$k = 32 = c d = 8 \times 4.$$

$$\text{Let } 27 x - x^3 = 26.$$

The roots are 1 and 4,6235 and m is greater than n .

$$k = 26 < 1 \times 4,6235.$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{61}{9} x^2 - x^3 = \frac{400}{9}.$$

The

The roots are 4 and 5, and m is less than n ; $k = \frac{400}{9} > 4^2 \times 5^2$ or 16×25 .

To make equations of the form $a x^n - a^{m+n} = b$ with either one or two roots.

$$\text{Make } a = c^m + d^m \pm c^n d^n \times \frac{c^{m-n} - d^{m-n}}{c^n - d^n}.$$

$$\text{and make } k = c^n d^n \times \frac{c^m - d^m}{c^n - d^n}.$$

Instances.

Make an equation of the form $a x - x^2 = k$ with the two roots 7 and 9.

$$a = 7 + 9 = 16$$

$$k = 7 \times 9 = 63$$

$$\therefore 16 x - x^2 = 63.$$

Make an equation of the form $a x - x^3 = k$ with the roots 7 and 2.

$$n = 1; m = 2.$$

$$a = c^m + d^m + \frac{c^m d^n - d^m c^n}{c^n - d^n} = c^2 + d^2 + \frac{c^2 d - d^2 c}{c - d} =$$

$$c^2 + d^2 + c d \times \frac{c - d}{c - d} = c^2 + c d + d^2 = 49 + 14 +$$

$$4 = 67$$

PART II.

F

k

$$k = c^n d^n \times \frac{c^m - d^m}{c^n - d^n} = c d \times \frac{c^2 - d^2}{c - d} = c d \times \overline{c + d}$$

$$= 14 \times 9 = 126$$

$$\therefore 67x - x^3 = 126.$$

Make an equation of the form $ax^2 - x^3 = k$ with the roots 5 and 2.

$$n = 2; m = 1.$$

$$a = c^m + d^m + \frac{c^m d^n - d^m c^n}{c^n - d^n} = c + d + \frac{c d^2 - d c^2}{c^2 - d^2}$$

$$= c + d - c d \times \frac{c - d}{c^2 - d^2} = c + d - \frac{c d}{c + d} =$$

$$5 + 2 - \frac{10}{7} = 7 - 1\frac{3}{7} = 5\frac{4}{7}$$

$$k = c^n d^n \times \frac{c^m - d^m}{c^n - d^n} = c^2 d^2 \times \frac{c - d}{c^2 - d^2} = \frac{c^2 d^2}{c + d} =$$

$$\frac{25 \times 4}{7} = \frac{100}{7} = 14\frac{2}{7}$$

$$\therefore 5\frac{4}{7}x^2 - x^3 = 14\frac{2}{7}.$$

Make an equation of the form $ax^3 - x^5 = k$ with the roots 7 and 6.

$$n = 3; m = 2.$$

$$a = c^m + d^m + \frac{c^m d^n - c^n d^m}{c^n - d^n} = c^2 + d^2 + \frac{c^2 d^3 - c^3 d^2}{c^3 - d^3}$$

$$= c^2 + d^2 - c^2 d^2 \times \frac{c - d}{c^3 - d^3} = c^2 + d^2 - \frac{c^2 d^2}{c^2 + c d + d^2}$$

$$= 49 + 36 - \frac{49 \times 36}{49 + 42 + 36} = 85 - \frac{1764}{127} =$$

$$85 - 13\frac{113}{127} = 71\frac{14}{127}$$

$$k = c^n d^n \times \frac{c^m - d^m}{c^n - d^n} = c^3 d^3 \times \frac{c^2 - d^2}{c^3 - d^3} = c^3 d^3 \times \frac{c + d}{c^2 + c d + d^2} =$$

$$7^3 \times 6^3 \times \frac{13}{49 + 42 + 36} = \frac{7^3 \times 6^3 \times 13}{127} = 7583 \frac{1}{127}.$$

$$\therefore 71 \frac{1}{127} x^3 - x^5 = 7583 \frac{1}{127}.$$

Make an equation of the form $a x^2 - x^5 = k$, having only one root 3.

$$n = 2; m = 3.$$

$$M = \sqrt[m]{\frac{n a}{m + n}} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2 a}{5}} = 3.$$

$$\therefore \frac{2 a}{5} = 3^3 = 27$$

$$\therefore a = \frac{135}{2} = 67,5$$

$$k = M^{m+n} \times \frac{m}{n}$$

$$\therefore k = 3^5 \times \frac{3}{2} = \frac{729}{2} = 364,5$$

$$\therefore 67,5 x^2 - x^5 = 364,5.$$

The same might have been done from the general expressions for a and k in terms of c and d , by supposing that, when there is only one root, the two terms c and d approach nearer to equality than by any given difference. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= c^m + d^m + \frac{c^m d^n - c^n d^m}{c^n - d^n} = c^3 + d^3 + \frac{c^3 d^2 - c^2 d^3}{c^2 - d^2} \\
 &= c^3 + d^3 + c^2 d^2 \times \frac{c - d}{c^2 - d^2} = c^3 + d^3 + \frac{c^2 d^2}{c + d}
 \end{aligned}$$

If d is supposed to be nearly equal to c ;

$$a = 2c^3 + \frac{c^4}{2c} = 2c^3 + \frac{c^3}{2} = \frac{5c^3}{2} = \frac{5 \cdot 27}{2} = 67,5.$$

Also $k = c^n d^n \times \frac{c^m - d^m}{c^n - d^n} = c^2 d^2 \times \frac{c^3 - d^3}{c^2 - d^2} =$
 $c^2 d^2 \times \frac{c^2 + cd + d^2}{c + d}$ and d being supposed nearly equal
to c

$$k = c^4 \times \frac{3c^2}{2c} = c^4 \times \frac{3c}{2} = \frac{3 \cdot c^5}{2} = \frac{3 \cdot 3^5}{2} = 364,5.$$

In the same manner that the relation between a , k , and the roots of the equation $ax^n - x^{m+n} = k$ has been discovered; the relation between a , k , and the roots of the equations $x^{m+n} + ax^n = k$, and $x^{m+n} - ax^n = k$ may be investigated. Thus let d be the root of the equation $x^{m+n} + ax^n = k$, and c the root of the equation $x^{m+n} - ax^n = k$; then

$$c^{m+n} - ac^n = k = d^{m+n} + ad^n.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore c^{m+n} - d^{m+n} &= ac^n + ad^n = a \times \overline{c^n + d^n} \\
 \therefore a &= \frac{c^{m+n} - d^{m+n}}{c^n + d^n} = c^m - \frac{c^m d^n}{c^n + d^n} - d^m + \frac{c^n d^m}{c^n + d^n} \\
 &= c^m - d^m - c^n d^n \times \frac{c^{m-n} - d^{m-n}}{c^n + d^n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

Also, since $d^{m+n} + a d^n = k$, $a = \frac{k - d^{m+n}}{d^n}$; and since

$$c^{m+n} - a c^n = k, a = \frac{c^{m+n} - k}{c^n}.$$

$$\therefore \frac{k - d^{m+n}}{d^n} = \frac{c^{m+n} - k}{c^n}$$

$$\therefore k c^n - d^{m+n} c^n = c^{m+n} d^n - k d^n$$

$$\therefore k \times \overline{c^n + d^n} = c^{m+n} d^n + d^{m+n} c^n = c^n d^n \times \overline{c^m + d^m}$$

$$\therefore k = c^n d^n \times \frac{c^m + d^m}{c^n + d^n}.$$

Hence, if $m = n = 1$, $a = c - d$ and $k = c d$.

$$\text{Let } x^2 + 3x = 40 = y^2 - 3y.$$

Here $c = 8$ and $d = 5$

$$a = 3 = 8 - 5$$

$$k = 40 = 8 \times 5.$$

A relation also is to be found between the roots of the equation $x^{m+n} - a x^n = k$ and $a y^n - y^{m+n} = k$, which may sometimes be of use.

$$x^{m+n} - a x^n = a y^n - y^{m+n}$$

$$\therefore x^{m+n} + y^{m+n} = a y^n + a x^n = a \times \overline{y^n + x^n}$$

$$\therefore a = \frac{x^{m+n} + y^{m+n}}{x^n + y^n}.$$

In certain cases $x^{m+n} + y^{m+n}$ is divisible by $x^n + y^n$; in which cases the relation between x and the roots c and d of the equation $a y^n - y^{m+n} = k$ is easily discovered. Thus
let

let $m = 2$, and $n = 1$.

$$\therefore a = \frac{x^3 + y^3}{x + y} = x^2 - yx + y^2 = x^2 - cx + c^2 = x^2 - dx + d^2$$

$$\therefore c^2 - d^2 = cx - dx = x \times \overline{c - d}$$

$$\therefore x = c + d.$$

Hence, the root of the equation $x^3 - ax = k$ is equal to the sum of the roots of the equation $ax - x^3 = k$.

Also a relation may be found between k and the roots of these two equations. For

$$ax^n = x^{m+n} - k \quad \therefore a = \frac{x^{m+n} - k}{x^n}$$

$$\text{and } ay^n = y^{m+n} - k \quad \therefore a = \frac{y^{m+n} - k}{y^n}$$

$$\therefore \frac{x^{m+n} - k}{x^n} = \frac{c^{m+n} - k}{c^n} = \frac{d^{m+n} - k}{d^n}$$

$$\therefore x^{m+n} c^n - k c^n = x^n c^{m+n} - k x^n$$

$$\text{and } x^{m+n} d^n - k d^n = x^n d^{m+n} - k x^n$$

$$\therefore x^{m+n} \times \overline{c^n - d^n} - k \times \overline{c^n - d^n} = x^n \times \overline{c^{m+n} - d^{m+n}}$$

$$\therefore x^{m+n} - x^n \times \frac{c^{m+n} - d^{m+n}}{c^n - d^n} = k.$$

Let $n = 1$ and $m = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore k &= x^3 - x \times \frac{c^3 - d^3}{c - d} = x^3 - x \times \overline{c^2 + cd + d^2} \\ &= x^3 - x \times \overline{c \times c + d + d^2} = x^3 - c x^2 - d^2 x = x^2 \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \overline{x - c} - d^2 x = x^2 d - d^2 x = d x \times \overline{x - d} = d x.$$

Hence, the root of an equation $x^3 - a x = k$ is equal to the sum of the roots of the equation $a x - x^3 = k$; and k is equal to the product of the roots of the two equations.

Instance.

$$x^3 - 76 x = 240.$$

The root of this equation is 10; and the roots of the equation $76 x - x^3 = 240$ are six and four, and $240 = 6 \times 4 \times \overline{6 + 4}$.

A similar relation exists between the roots of the equations $x^{m+n} + a x^n = k$, $a y^n - y^{m+n} = k$ and a and k .

$$\text{For } x^{m+n} + y^{m+n} = a y^n - a x^n = a \times \overline{y^n - x^n}$$

$$\therefore a = \frac{x^{m+n} + y^{m+n}}{y^n - x^n}.$$

$$\text{Also } a = \frac{k - x^{m+n}}{x^n} = \frac{k + y^{m+n}}{y^n}$$

$$\therefore k y^n - x^{m+n} y^n = k x^n + y^{m+n} x^n$$

$$\therefore k \times \overline{y^n - x^n} = y^{m+n} x^n + x^{m+n} y^n$$

$$\therefore k = \frac{y^{m+n} x^n + x^{m+n} y^n}{y^n - x^n}.$$

To

To find the root or roots of the equation $a x^n - x^m + n = k$.

First try, whether the equation has one or two roots by the expressions given for a and k . If it has only one root, that root is found from either of those expressions. If it has two roots; try first, whether the least root is a whole number, by applying numbers less than M already found: and having thus found the least root, the other root may in some cases be easily found by the expressions for a and k . If the least root is not a whole number, take the difference between M and the nearest whole number to it, which difference being added to M gives a number very near the greatest root. By trial it will then be found, whether the greatest root is a whole number; and, if it is, the least root may also, in some cases, be easily found from the expressions for a and k . If neither of the roots are whole numbers, they will be found best by the method of approach.

$$\text{Let } 39y - y^3 = 70.$$

$$\therefore M = \sqrt{\frac{39}{3}} = \sqrt{13} = 3,6 \dots$$

$$M = \sqrt[3]{\frac{k}{2}}$$

$$\text{but } \sqrt[3]{\frac{70}{2}} = \sqrt[3]{35} = 3,2.$$

Hence, the equation has two roots.

$$y = 3 \quad 2 \quad 5$$

$$\frac{70}{3} = 23 \frac{3}{5} \quad 14$$

$$39 - y^2 = 30 \quad 35 \quad 14.$$

By

By trying 3 according to the mode of dividers, it appears, that 3 is too great for the least root: and on trying 2, it succeeds; consequently 2 is one root, and as the greatest root is not so far from M as the least is, the greatest root must be very near to if not five. Consequently 5 was tried for the next step, and the two roots are found, namely 2 and 5.

$$\text{Let } 25y - y^3 = 36.$$

$$M = \sqrt[3]{\frac{25}{3}} = \sqrt[3]{8,3}$$

$$M = \sqrt[3]{\frac{k}{2}} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{36}{2}} = \sqrt[3]{18}.$$

But $\sqrt[3]{8,3}$ is not equal to $\sqrt[3]{18}$. Therefore the equation has two roots

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y & = & 2 \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 4 \\ \frac{36}{y} & = & 18 \quad 36 \quad 12 \quad 9 \\ 25 - y^2 & = & 21 \quad 24 \quad 16 \quad 9. \end{array}$$

Hence, the least root lies between one and two, and the greatest root is 4. Therefore, since

$$\begin{aligned} a &= c^m + d^m + \frac{c^m d^n - d^m c^n}{c^n - d^n} \\ 25 &= 4^2 + d^2 + \frac{4^2 d - 4 d^2}{4 - d} = 4^2 + d^2 + 4d \\ \therefore d^2 + 4d &= 9 \\ \therefore d &= \sqrt{9+4} - 2 = \sqrt{13} - 2 = 1,60551\dots \end{aligned}$$

PART II.

G

Let

Let $9x^2 - x^3 = 100$ *.

$$M = \sqrt[m+n]{\frac{na}{m+n}} = \frac{2a}{3} = \frac{18}{3} = 6$$

$$M = \sqrt[m+n]{k \times \frac{n}{m}} = \sqrt[3]{100 \times \frac{2}{1}} = \sqrt[3]{200} = 5, \dots$$

Hence, the equation has two roots

$$\text{Let } 9 - x = 4.$$

$$\frac{100}{9-x} = 25$$

$$x^2 = 25.$$

Hence, $x = 5$, and the greatest root is not a whole number.

$$\begin{aligned} a &= c^m + d^m + \frac{c^m d^n - d^m c^n}{c^n - d^n} = c + d + \frac{c d^2 - d c^2}{c^2 - d^2} \\ &= c + d - c d \times \frac{c-d}{c^2 - d^2} = c + d - \frac{c d}{c+d} = \frac{c^2 + c d + d^2}{c+d} \\ &= \frac{c^2 + 5c + 25}{c+5} = 9 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore c^2 + 5c + 25 = 9c + 45$$

$$\therefore c^2 - 4c = 20$$

$$\therefore c = \sqrt{20 + 4} + 2 = 2 + \sqrt{24}.$$

$$\text{Let } 1000x - x^3 = 174 \dagger.$$

The least root is evidently less than one; therefore x^3 bears a very small proportion to $1000x$, and

* Prob. XIII. XIV. Raphson. Analysis.

† Raphson. XXXI.

$$1000 d = 174 \text{ nearly}$$

$$\therefore d = ,174;$$

$$\text{but } d = ,174 + \frac{x^3}{1000}$$

$$\therefore d = ,174 + \left[\frac{174}{1000} \right]^3 \times \frac{1}{1000} \text{ nearly}$$

$$\text{Log. } \overline{174}^3 = 3. \text{ Log. } 174 = 3.2405492 = 6,7216476$$

$$\therefore \overline{174}^3 = 5,268022 \times 10^6 \text{ nearly}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\overline{174}^3}{1000^3} \times \frac{1}{1000} = 5,268022 \times \frac{10^6}{10^9 \times 10^3} = \frac{5,268022}{10^6}$$

$$= ,000005268022$$

$$\therefore d = ,174005268022 + z.$$

For the greatest root a very near approach may be made in a similar manner.

$$1000 c - c^3 = 174$$

$$\therefore 1000 c - 174 = c^3$$

$$\therefore 1000 - \frac{174}{c} = c^2$$

$$\therefore c = \sqrt{1000} \text{ nearly}$$

$$\therefore c^2 = 1000 - \frac{174}{\sqrt{1000}} \text{ nearly}$$

$$\text{Log. } \frac{174}{\sqrt{1000}} = \text{Log. } 174 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3 = 2,2405492 \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ - 1,5 \end{array} \right\} =$$

$$= ,7405492$$

$$\therefore \frac{174}{\sqrt{1000}} = 5,502362$$

$$\therefore c^2 = 1000 - 5,502362 = 994,497637 \dots$$

$$\therefore c = 31,5363 \text{ nearly.}$$

Let $77284 x - x^3 = 8013128$. (RAPHSON, XI.)

Make $x = 100 y$.

$$\therefore 7,7284 y - y^3 = 8,013128$$

$$M = \sqrt{\frac{7,7284}{3}} = \sqrt{2,57613} = 1,605$$

$$y = \quad 1 \quad 1,2 \quad 1,4 \quad 18,3$$

$$\frac{8,013128}{y} = 8,013128 \quad 6,677 \quad 5,7236 \quad 4,378.$$

$$7,7284 - y^2 = 6,7284 \quad 6,2884 \quad 5,7684 \quad 4,3795.$$

The least root appears to be between 1,2 and 1,4, but nearest to 1,4 and nearly equal to 1,37; for $6,677 - 6,2884 = ,389$ and $5,7236 - 5,7684 = ,044$.
 $\therefore \frac{,044 + ,389}{20} = \frac{,433}{20} = ,0216$ and $\frac{,044}{,0216} = 2, \dots$

Therefore somewhat more than two hundred parts are to be taken from 1,4, to give the true value of d . Since $d = 1,37$ nearly, $M - d = ,23$. $\therefore M + ,23 = 1,835 = c$ nearly. Hence, 1,83 was made a divider, and it appears that c is somewhat greater than 1,83. Therefore for the first approach make $c = 1,83 + z$. Raphson after a very long calculation makes x to be 179,79652, an error which arose from inattention to the marks $+$ and $-$, an inattention, which is very frequently fatal in approaches to the roots of an equation in this form. This equa-

equation also Baron Maferes solved by tabular fines, which give for the root $c . 179,7368$ *. But on the error having been pointed out to him, he solved it immediately by the method of approach, and confirmed the truth of this statement.

Let $300x - x^3 = 1000$. (RAPHSO, XII.)

$$300d = 1000 + d^3 = 1000 \text{ nearly}$$

$$\therefore d = \frac{1000}{300} = \frac{10}{3} \text{ nearly}$$

$$\therefore d = \frac{10}{3} + \frac{10^3}{3^3 \cdot 300}$$

$$\therefore d = \frac{10}{3} + \frac{1000}{27 \cdot 300} = \frac{10}{3} + \frac{10}{3} \times \frac{1}{27} = \frac{10}{3}$$

$$\times 1 + \frac{1}{27} = \frac{10}{3} \times \frac{28}{27} = \frac{280}{9 \cdot 9} = \frac{31.111}{9} =$$

$$3,45679012 \dots$$

$$\therefore d = \frac{10}{3} + \frac{3,45679}{300} = \frac{10}{3} + \frac{41,30665}{300} =$$

$$3,333 + ,13768883 = 3,47102216$$

$$\therefore d = \frac{10}{3} + \frac{3,471022}{300} = \frac{10}{3} + \frac{41,81881}{300} = 3,33$$

$$+ ,3939613 = 3,47272946.$$

In the same manner approaches might be continually made, but they are very flow, since x^3 does not bear a

* Maferes's Dissertation on the Use of the Negative Sign, page 255.

small proportion to 1000. Let this equation be tried by dividers.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 M = \sqrt{\frac{300}{3}} & = & \sqrt{100} = 10 \\
 x & = & 5 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 3,5 \quad 15 \quad 16 \\
 \frac{1000}{x} & = & 200 \quad 333,250 \quad 285,5 \quad 66,6 \quad 62,5 \\
 300 - x^2 & = & 275 \quad 291 \quad 284 \quad 287,75 \quad 75 \quad 44.
 \end{array}$$

Hence, d is between 3,5 and 4, and is equal to $3,47 + z$ and c is between 15 and 16, and is equal to $15,3 + z$. In the former case, $d = 3,472963553338$, in the latter, $c = 15,3208887 \dots$ nearly

$$\text{Let } 5x - x^3 = 4.$$

Here d is evidently equal to one. Therefore a which is equal to $c^2 + cd + d^2$ is equal to $c^2 + c + 1$.

$$\therefore 5 = c^2 + c + 1$$

$$\therefore c^2 + c = 4$$

$$\therefore c = \sqrt{4 + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{17 - 1}{2}}^*$$

* App. page 327.

C H A P. IV.

EQUATIONS OF THE THIRD CLASS.

EQUATIONS of the third class have on the unknown side three terms ; and in one of their forms may have three roots, in others two roots, and in others can have only one root.

Forms of this Class.

$$\text{I. } \begin{cases} 1. x^m + a x^n + b x^o = c \\ 2. x^m + a x^n - b x^o = c \\ 3. x^m - a x^n - b x^o = c \end{cases}$$

$$\text{II. } \begin{cases} 1. a x^n + b x^o - x^m = c \\ 2. a x^n - b x^o - x^m = c \\ 3. b x^o - a x^n - x^m = c \end{cases}$$

$$\text{III. } x^m + b x^o - a x^n = c$$

The equations in the first order of forms of this class correspond with those of either the first or second forms of equations of the second class, and consequently can have but one root. The second order of equations corresponds with the third form of equations of the second class, and consequently equations of either of the forms in this order may have two roots. The third order has only one form, and this form corresponds, in one case, with the second form of the second class, and consequently

quently can have but one root ; in the other case it corresponds with the third form of equations of the second class, in which consequently it may have two roots. And, as both cases take place sometimes in the same equation, this form admits of three roots.

To make these propositions easier to the learner, they shall be first proved in equations of the simplest kinds in this class ; namely, when m is equal to 3, n equal to 2, and o equal to one.

The equations of the first order become in this case,

$$1. x^3 + a x^2 + b x = k$$

$$2. x^3 + a x^2 - b x = k$$

$$3. x^3 - a x^2 - b x = k.$$

1. $x^3 + a x^2 + b x = c$. This equation can evidently have only one root : for, if any number is added to or taken away from x , the sum on the unknown side is made greater or less than it was before, and consequently must be greater or less than k .

2. $x^3 + a x^2 - b x = k$. Since $x^3 + a x^2$ is greater than $b x$, and by adding to x , the increase of $x^3 + a x^2$ is greater than that of $b x$, the difference of the terms on the unknown side is increased by adding to x , and for the same reason is decreased by taking away from x . Consequently by adding to x , the difference is made greater than k , by taking away from x the difference is made less than k .

3. $x^3 - ax^2 - bx = k$. In this case the increase of x^3 is greater than that of $ax^2 + bx$; therefore by adding to x the difference of the terms is made greater than k , and by taking from x on the same principle the difference is made less than k .

The second order of equations in this class becomes, when m, n and o are respectively equal to 3, 2 and 1,

$$1. ax^2 + bx - x^3 = k$$

$$2. ax^2 - bx - x^3 = k$$

$$3. bx - ax^2 - x^3 = k.$$

1. $ax^2 + bx - x^3 = k$. In an equation of this form the unknown side does not always increase with the increase of x , nor decrease with its decrease.

Let x become $x + \dot{x}$, \dot{x} being a very small number, then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} ax^2 \\ + bx \\ - x^3 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} a x^2 + 2 a x \dot{x} + \dot{x}^2 \\ + b x + b \dot{x} \\ - x^3 - 3 x^2 \dot{x} - 3 x \dot{x}^2 - \dot{x}^3 \end{array} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a x^2 + 2 a x \dot{x} \\ + b x + b \dot{x} \text{ nearly} \\ - x^3 - 3 x^2 \dot{x}. \end{array} \right.$$

$\therefore 2ax\dot{x} + b\dot{x} \propto 3x^2\dot{x}$ is the increase or decrease nearly of the unknown side of the equation. If $2ax + b$ is greater than $3x^2$, the equation is increased; if $2ax + b$ is less than $3x^2$, the equation is decreased.

Now let \dot{x} be taken from x , or x be made $x - \dot{x}$, then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} ax^2 \\ + bx \\ - x^3 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} a x^2 - 2 a x \dot{x} + \dot{x}^2 \\ + b x - b \dot{x} \\ - x^3 + 3 x^2 \dot{x} - 3 x \dot{x}^2 + \dot{x}^3 \end{array} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a x^2 - 2 a x \dot{x} \\ + b x - b \dot{x} \text{ nearly} \\ - x^3 + 3 x^2 \dot{x} \end{array} \right.$$

PART II.

H

Hence,

Hence, $3x^2 \dot{x} \oslash \overline{2ax\dot{x} + b\dot{x}}$ is the increase or decrease nearly of the equation. If $3x^2$ is greater than $2ax + b$, the equation is increased; if $3x^2$ is less than $2ax + b$, the equation is decreased. As long therefore as $2ax + b$ is greater than $3x^2$, the unknown side of the equation is increased by adding to x and decreased by taking away from x ; and if it is equal to k in this state, it must be equal to k again; for as it increases till $2ax + b$ is equal to $3x^2$, from that time the unknown side decreases by adding to x . And it constantly decreases from a number greater than k to nothing.

2. $ax^2 - bx - x^3 = k$. In this the unknown side increases or decreases by the term $2ax\dot{x} \oslash \overline{b\dot{x} + 3x^2\dot{x}}$ when \dot{x} is added to x , or by the term $\overline{b\dot{x} + 3x^2\dot{x}} \oslash 2ax\dot{x}$, if \dot{x} is taken from x , and the reasoning is as before.

3. $bx - ax^2 - x^3 = k$. In this form the unknown side increases or decreases by the term $\overline{b\dot{x} + 2ax\dot{x}} \oslash 3x^2\dot{x}$ by adding to x or by the term $\overline{2ax\dot{x} + 3x^2\dot{x}} \oslash b\dot{x}$ when \dot{x} is taken from x , and the reasoning is the same as above.

$$\text{III. } x^3 + bx - ax^2 = k.$$

In this form the unknown side may first increase by adding to x , then decrease, and lastly be capable of increase without limit. As before, it increases if $3x^2\dot{x} + b\dot{x}$ is greater than $2ax\dot{x}$, it decreases when $3x^2\dot{x} + b\dot{x}$ is less than $2ax\dot{x}$, and as x may be increased without

without limit, $3x^2\dot{x} + b\dot{x}$ must, by continually adding to x , become again greater than $2ax\dot{x}$ or equal to any assignable number. Hence, if the unknown side is equal to k when $3x^2\dot{x} + b\dot{x}$ is greater than $2ax\dot{x}$, it may again become equal to k when $2ax\dot{x}$ is greater than $3x^2\dot{x} + b\dot{x}$, and again equal to k when $3x^2\dot{x} + b\dot{x}$ becomes again greater than $2ax\dot{x}$.

But an equation in this form cannot have more than three roots, for in either state whether $2ax\dot{x}$ is greater or less than $3x^2\dot{x} + b\dot{x}$, the unknown side constantly increases or decreases between the limits $x = 0$ or x being such that $3x^2\dot{x} + b\dot{x} = 2ax\dot{x}$.

Let d, e, f be the roots of an equation in this form, d being greater than e , and e greater than f .

$$\text{Since } x^3 + bx - ax^2 = k$$

$$d^3 + bd - ad^2 = k$$

$$\text{Also } e^3 + be - ae^2 = k.$$

$$\text{Therefore } d^3 - e^3 + b \times \overline{d - e} = a \times \overline{d^2 - e^2}$$

$$\therefore d^2 + ed + e^2 + b = a \times \overline{d + e}$$

$$\text{Also } d^2 + df + f^2 + b = a \times \overline{d + f}$$

$$\therefore d \times \overline{e - f} + e^2 - f^2 = a \times \overline{e - f}$$

$$\therefore d + e + f = a.$$

Hence, in equations of this form having three roots, a the co-part of the second power of x is equal to the sum of the roots.

Since $d^2 + ed + e^2 + b = a \times \overline{d + e}$ by substituting for a its equal the sum of the roots.

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 + ed + e^2 + b &= \overline{d + e + f} \times \overline{d + e} \\ \therefore d^2 + ed + e^2 + b &= d^2 + de + de + e^2 + df + ef \\ \therefore b &= de + df + ef. \end{aligned}$$

Hence b , the copart of the simple unknown number, is always equal to the sums of the products of each pair of the roots.

Now $d^3 + bd - ad^2 = k$. Therefore by substituting for b and a their equals in terms of the roots

$$\begin{aligned} d^3 + \overline{de + df + ef} \times d - d^2 \times \overline{d + e + f} &= k \\ \therefore d^3 + d^2e + d^2f + def - d^3 - d^2e - d^2f &= k \\ \therefore def &= k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the known term is always the product of the roots multiplied together.

If one of the roots of an equation in this form having three roots is known, the other two may be found by means of an equation of the second order. For

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 + ed + e^2 + b &= a \times \overline{d + e} \\ \text{Also } d^2 + fd + f^2 + b &= a \times \overline{d + f} \\ \text{and } e^2 + ef + f^2 + b &= a \times \overline{e + f}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if the least root f is known, to find the next root e , the equation

$$e^2 + ef + f^2 + b = a \times e + f$$

would be employed.

And to find the greatest root d , the equation

$$a^2 + df + f^2 + b = a \times \overline{d + f}$$

would be employed.

But they are both of the same form with the same co-
parts to the unknown number, and may be written thus:

$$x^2 + fx + f^2 + b = a \times \overline{f + x}$$

$$\therefore b + f^2 - af = ax - fx - x^2$$

$$\text{or } b + f^2 - af = x \times \overline{a - f} - x^2$$

Now this equation has two roots, whose sum is equal
to $a - f$ that is $d + e$ and their product is equal to $b +$
 $f^2 - af$ or to $b - f \times \overline{a - f}$ that is $b - f \times \overline{d + e}$ or
 $de + df + ef - df - ef$ that is to de .

Therefore d and e are the roots of the equation $x^2 +$
 $fx + f^2 + b = a \times \overline{f + x}$.

In the same manner if e had been known, the two
roots d and f might be found from the equation

$$x^2 + ex + e^2 + b = a \times \overline{e + x}.$$

Or if d had been known, the other two roots might be
found from the equation

$$x^2 + dx + d^2 + b = a \times \overline{d + x}.$$

Instance.

Instance.

Let $x^3 - 6x^2 + 11x = 6$ whose roots are 1, 2, 3.
Suppose the least root to have been the only one known.
Then

$$x^3 + fx + f^2 + b = a \times \sqrt{f + x} \text{ becomes}$$

$$x^3 + x + 1 + 11 = 6 \times \sqrt{1 + x}$$

$$\therefore 12 - 6 = 6x - x - x^2$$

$$\therefore 6 = 5x - x^2$$

$$\therefore \sqrt{\frac{25}{4} - 6} = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2} - x \\ \text{or } x - \frac{5}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{but } \sqrt{\frac{25}{4} - 6} = \sqrt{\frac{25 - 24}{4}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{2} - x$$

$$\therefore x = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{4}{2} = 2$$

$$\text{or } \frac{1}{2} = x - \frac{5}{2}$$

$$\therefore x = \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{6}{2} = 3.$$

The reader may try the same process from either of the other two roots being supposed the only one known.

If the equation has only two roots, then e and f or d and e are equal. In the first case if d is known, the other root is found by making $a - d = 2e \therefore e = \frac{a - d}{2}$.

Or

Or if e in the second case is known, $a - 2e = d$, which gives easily the number d . Thus, let

$$x^3 + 72x - 15x^2 = 112;$$

the roots are 7 and 4.

If 7 only had been known, then the root $e = \frac{a-d}{2} =$
 $\frac{15-7}{2} = 4.$

If 4 had been the root known,
 $d = a - 2e = 15 - 8 = 7.$

In the same manner one root may be found from the other by means of the expressions b and k . For $k = d e f$, that is when $e = f$, $k = d e^2$

$$\therefore d = \frac{k}{e^2}$$

$$\therefore e = \sqrt{\frac{k}{d}}$$

$$d = \frac{112}{16} = 7$$

$$e = \sqrt{\frac{112}{7}} = 4$$

$$\text{Also } b = 2 d e + e^2$$

$$\therefore d = \frac{b - e^2}{2e}$$

$$\text{and } e = \sqrt{b + d^2} - d$$

If the equation $x^3 + b x - a x^2 = c$ has only two roots d, e , the equation

d^2

$$d^2 + e d + e^2 + b = a \times \overline{d + e}$$

has only one root, namely $\frac{a-d}{2}$ equal to e . For

$$d^2 + b - a d = a e - e d - e^2 = e \times \overline{a - d} - e^2$$

and since the two roots of the above equation are equal,

$$e = \frac{a-d}{2}.$$

Substitute $\frac{a-d}{2}$ for e in the equation $d^2 + b - a d$
 $= e \times \overline{a - d} - e^2.$

$$\therefore d^2 + b - a d = \frac{a-d}{2} \times \overline{a-d} - \left(\frac{a-d}{2}\right)^2 =$$

$$\overline{a^2 - 2 a d + d^2} \times \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\therefore 4 d^2 + 4 b - 4 a d = a^2 - 2 a d + d^2$$

$$\therefore 3 d^2 - 2 a d = a^2 - 4 b$$

$$\therefore d^2 - \frac{2 a d}{3} = \frac{a^2 - 4 b}{3}$$

$$\therefore d - \frac{a}{3} = \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{9} + \frac{a^2 - 4 b}{3}} = \sqrt{\frac{4 a^2 - 4 b \cdot 3}{9}}$$

$$\therefore d = \frac{a + 2 \sqrt{a^2 - 3 b}}{3}.$$

$$\text{Also } e = \frac{a-d}{2} \therefore e = \frac{1}{2} \cdot a - \frac{a + 2 \sqrt{a^2 - 3 b}}{3}$$

$$\therefore e = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2 a - 2 \sqrt{a^2 - 3 b}}{3} = \frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3 b}}{3}.$$

Hence, if the equation $x^3 + b x - a x^2 = c$ has only two roots as above described, the second power of a must be greater than three times b .

Instance.

Instance.

Let the equation be $x^3 - 5x^2 + 7x = 3$ having two roots.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore e &= \frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{5 - \sqrt{25 - 21}}{3} = \frac{5 - 2}{3} \\ &= 1 \text{ and } d = \frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{5 + 4}{3} = \frac{9}{3} \\ &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since the equation $e^2 + b - ae = x \times \overline{a - e} - x^2$ gives two values of x , which are the roots d and f , by making either of these values equal to e , the roots of the equation $x^3 - ax^2 + bx = k$, when it has only two roots, will be found. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x \times \overline{a - e} - x^2 &= e^2 + b - ae \\ \therefore x &\approx \frac{a - e}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{a - e}{2}^2 - e^2 - b + ae} = \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 2ae + e^2 - 4e^2 - 4b + 4ae}}{2} = \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore x = \frac{a - e \pm \sqrt{a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b}}{2}$$

$$\text{That is, } d = \frac{a - e + \sqrt{a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b}}{2}$$

$$\text{and } f = \frac{a - e - \sqrt{a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b}}{2}$$

PART II.

I

Now

Now let the equation $x^3 - ax^2 + bx = k$ have only two roots, then d or f may be made equal to e . First let $d = e$.

$$\text{Then } e = \frac{a - e + \sqrt{a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b}}{2}$$

$$\therefore 3e - a = \sqrt{a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b}$$

$$\therefore 9e^2 - 6ae + a^2 = a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b$$

$$\therefore 12e^2 = 8ae - 4b$$

$$\therefore 2ae - 3e^2 = b$$

$$\therefore \frac{2ae}{3} - e^2 = \frac{b}{3}$$

$$\therefore \frac{a}{3} \mp e = \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{9} - \frac{b}{3}}$$

$$\therefore e = \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$$

The other expression $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ cannot be applied in this instance, since e being equal to d must be greater than $\frac{a}{3}$.

$$f = a - 2e = a - \frac{2a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$$

Hence if the equation has two roots, and the greater is equal to $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, the smaller will be equal to $\frac{a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

As

As f also may be equal to e , and f is equal to

$$\frac{a - e - \sqrt{a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b}}{2} \text{ then}$$

$$e = \frac{a - e - \sqrt{a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b}}{2}.$$

$$\therefore a - 3e = \sqrt{a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b}$$

$$\therefore a^2 - 6ae + 9e^2 = a^2 + 2ae - 3e^2 - 4b$$

$$\therefore 8ae - 12e^2 = 4b$$

$$\therefore \frac{2ae}{3} - e^2 = \frac{b}{3}$$

$$\therefore e = \frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}.$$

The other expression $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ cannot in this case be applied since a is greater than $3e$.

$$d = a - 2e = a - \frac{2a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}.$$

Hence, if the equation has two roots, and the smaller root is equal to $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$

the greater root must be equal to $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

Thus, if the equation $x^3 - ax^2 + bx = k$ has only two roots, the one must be equal to $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, and

the other to $\frac{a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, or the one must be equal to $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, and the other $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

If the equation $x^3 + bx - ax^2 = c$ has three roots, e must be less than $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ but greater than $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ and f less than $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

Instance.

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 - 12x^2 + 41x &= 42 \\ \frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} &= \frac{12 - \sqrt{144 - 123}}{3} = \frac{12 - \sqrt{21}}{3} \\ &= \frac{12 - 4,5824\dots}{3} = \frac{7,4175}{3} = 2,472. \end{aligned}$$

The nearest whole number 2 being tried succeeds, and consequently there must be three roots.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} &= \frac{12 + 2 \cdot 4,5824\dots}{3} = \frac{12 + 9,1648}{3} \\ &= \frac{12 + 9,1648}{3} = \frac{21,1648}{3} = 7,054\dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence e must lie between 2,472 and 7,054. Also it must be less than $\frac{a-f}{2}$ or $\frac{12-2}{2}$ or 5. Consequently 3 being the next whole number to 2,472 is tried and succeeds.

ceeds. The third root therefore being equal to $a - e - f$, or $12 - 3 - 2$ must be seven.

If the equations are of the forms admitting only of two roots, by applying the same mode of reasoning one root may be discovered from the other. Thus let the equation having two roots be of this form

$$ax^2 + bx - x^3 = k$$

$$\text{then } ad^2 + bd - d^3 = k$$

$$\text{and } ae^2 + be - e^3 = k$$

$$\therefore a \times \overline{d^2 - e^2} + b \times \overline{d - e} = d^3 - e^3$$

$$\therefore a \times \overline{d + e} + b = d^2 + ed + e^2$$

$$\therefore ad^2 + ade + bd = d^3 + ed^2 + e^2d$$

$$\therefore ad^2 + bd - d^3 = ed^2 + e^2d - ade = de \times \overline{d + e - a}$$

$$\text{But } ad^2 + bd - d^3 = k$$

$$\therefore k = de \times \overline{d + e - a}.$$

Hence the sum of the roots must be greater than a .

$$\text{Since } ad^2 + bd - d^3 = k$$

$$b = \frac{k - ad^2 + d^3}{d} = \frac{de \times \overline{d + e - a} - ad^2 + d^3}{d}$$

$$= e \times \overline{d + e - a} - ad + d^2 = ed + e^2 - ae - ad + d^2$$

$$\text{Let } 7x^2 + 14x - x^3 = 120.$$

One of the roots is 5.

$$k = de \times \overline{d + e - a} = 5d \times \overline{5 + d - 7} = 120$$

$$\therefore 25d + 5d^2 - 35d = 120$$

$$\therefore d^2 - 2d = 24$$

$$\therefore d = \sqrt{24 + 1} + 1 = 5 + 1 = 6.$$

Also

$$\text{Also } b = d \times \overline{d+e-a} - e \times \overline{a-e}$$

$$\therefore 14 = 6 \times \overline{6+5-7} - 5 \times 2 = 6 \times 4 - 10.$$

In the same manner the expression for b and k are discovered in the form

$$a x^2 - b x - x^3 = k$$

$$\text{Thus } a d^2 - b d - d^3 = k$$

$$a e^2 - b e - e^3 = k$$

$$\therefore a \times \overline{d^2 - e^2} - b \times \overline{d - e} = d^3 - e^3$$

$$\therefore a \times \overline{d+e} - b = d^2 + e d + e^2$$

$$\therefore a d^2 + a d e - b d = d^3 + e d^2 + e^2 d$$

$$\therefore a d^2 - b d - d^3 = e d \times \overline{d+e} - a d e$$

$$\text{But } a d^2 - b d - d^3 = k$$

$$\therefore k = e d \times \overline{d+e-a}.$$

$$\text{Also } b = \frac{a d^2 - d^3 - k}{d} = \frac{a d^2 - d^3 - e d \times \overline{d+e-a}}{d}$$

$$= a d - d^2 - e d - e^2 + a e = e \times \overline{a-e} - d \times \overline{d+e-a}.$$

Hence, in this form also the sum of the roots is greater than a ,

For the form $b x - a x^2 - x^3 = k$ the investigation is of the same kind.

$$b d - a d^2 - d^3 = k$$

$$b e - a e^2 - e^3 = k$$

$$\therefore b \times \overline{d-e} - a \times \overline{d^2 - e^2} = d^3 - e^3$$

$$\therefore b - a \times \overline{d+e} = d^2 + e d + e^2$$

$$\therefore b d - a d^2 - a d e = d^3 + e d^2 + e^2 d$$

...

$$\therefore b d - a d^2 - d^3 = e d \times e + d + a$$

$$\text{But } b d - a d^2 - d^3 = k$$

$$\therefore k = e d \times \overline{e + d + a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } b &= \frac{k + a d^2 + d^3}{d} = \frac{e d \times \overline{e + d + a} + a d^2 + d^3}{d} \\ &= \overline{e^2 + e d + a e + a d + d^2} = d \times \overline{a + d + e + e} \\ &\quad \times \overline{a + e}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in this form a does not depend at all on the sum of the roots, and the equation may be made of any two numbers whatever for roots. In either of the forms also if one root is found the other may be found by means of the expressions for k and b in an equation of two terms.

If there is only one root to equations in these forms, it may be discovered by means of an equation of the second class. For in this case d and e become equal, and for the equations $a x^2 + b x - x^3 = k$

$$b = d \times \overline{d + e - a} - e \times \overline{a - e}$$

That is, if $d = e$

$$b = d^2 + d^2 - a d - a d + d^2$$

$$\therefore b = 3 d^2 - 2 a d$$

$$\therefore \frac{b}{3} = d^2 - \frac{2 a d}{3}$$

$$\therefore d = \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{9} + \frac{b}{3}} + \frac{a}{3} = \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 3 b}}{3}.$$

Hence, if an equation in this form has two roots, the greatest must be greater than $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 3 b}}{3}$ and the least

least must be less than $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 3b}}{3}$.

In the equation $ax^2 - bx - x^3 = k$

$$b = e \times a - e - d \times d + e - a$$

That is, if $d = e$

$$b = ad - d^2 - d^2 - d^2 + ad = 2ad - 3d^2$$

$$\therefore \frac{b}{3} = \frac{2ad}{3} - d^2$$

$$\therefore \frac{a}{3} - d = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{9} - \frac{b}{3}} = \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} \\ \text{or } d - \frac{a}{3} = \end{array} \right.$$

$$\therefore d = \frac{a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$$

Since $2d$ is greater than a , d is greater than $\frac{a}{2}$, much

more therefore greater than $\frac{a}{3}$, or a number less

than $\frac{a}{3}$. But $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ is less than $\frac{a}{3}$; and con-

sequently this expression cannot be used for d in the given

equation: and if there is only one root, that root is equal

to $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

Hence, if the equation has two roots, the greatest

root is greater than $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ and the least root is

less than $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

In

In the equation $bx - x^2 - x^3 = k$

$$b = d \times \overline{a + d + e} + e \times \overline{a + e}$$

That is, if $d = e$

$$b = ad + d^2 + d^2 + ad + d^2 = 3d^2 + 2ad$$

$$\therefore \frac{b}{3} = d^2 + \frac{2ad}{3}$$

$$\therefore d = \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{9} + \frac{b}{3}} - \frac{a}{3} = \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + 3b} - a}{3}$$

Hence, if the equation has two roots the one is greater and the other less than $\frac{\sqrt{a^2 + 3b} - a}{3}$.

The enquiry into the roots of any equations in these forms is thus confined within certain limits, which, with the limits prescribed by the equation itself, render the discovery of the roots oftentimes very easy.

$$\text{Let } 7x^2 + 14x - x^3 = 120.$$

$$\therefore x \times \overline{7x + 14} - x^2 = 120$$

$$\therefore 7x + 14 \text{ is greater than } x^2$$

$$\text{Also } d + e \text{ is greater than } 7$$

$$\text{And } d \text{ is greater than } \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 3b}}{3} \text{ or } \frac{7 + \sqrt{49 + 42}}{3}$$

$$\text{or } \frac{7 + \sqrt{91}}{3} \text{ or } \frac{7 + 9, \dots}{3} \text{ or } 5, \dots \text{ and } e \text{ is less than } 5, \dots$$

But d must be less than 7, for if $x = 7$ then $7x - x^2 + 14 = 14$ and 7×14 is only 98. Consequently

PART II.

K

the

the greater root must be between 5, and 7, and the least root consequently between 4 and 5, Hence, 6 and 5 are naturally the two first numbers to be tried, and they both succeed.

$$x = 6 \quad 5$$

$$\frac{120}{x} = 20 \quad 24$$

$$7x + 14 - x^2 = 20 \quad 24$$

$$\text{Let } 16x^2 - 31x - x^3 = 264.$$

$$\therefore x \times \overline{16x - 31 - x^2} = 264$$

$d + e$ is greater than 16

$16x$ is greater than $31 + x^2$

$$d < \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} \text{ or } \frac{16 + \sqrt{256 - 93}}{3} \text{ or } \frac{28}{3} \text{ or}$$

9, and e is less than 9,

Hence, d is between 15, and 9, and e between 5, and 9,

$$x = 11 \quad 8$$

$$\frac{264}{x} = 24 \quad 33$$

$$16x - 31 - x^2 = 24 \quad 33.$$

$$\text{Let } 513x - 50x^2 - x^3 = 1062.$$

$$\therefore x \times \overline{513 - 50x - x^2} = 1062$$

$\therefore 513$ is greater than $50x + x^2$

$\therefore x$ is less than 8,

$$d < \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + 3b} - 50}{3} \text{ or } \frac{\sqrt{2500 + 1539} - 50}{3} \text{ or}$$

63,

$$\frac{63, \dots - 50}{3} \text{ or } 4,3 \text{ and } e \text{ is less than } 4,3$$

$$x = 6 \quad 3$$

$$\frac{1062}{6} = 177 \quad 354$$

$$513 - 50x - x^2 = 177 \quad 354.$$

5 was not tried as the first divider because $\frac{1062}{5}$ would have given a remainder, and $513 - 50x - x^2$ must, if x is a whole number, be a whole number.

The expression for the root in equations of the forms admitting two roots when they have only one root may be discovered by another method. Since they are supposed to have only one root, that root must be such as to make the unknown side the greatest possible; and by adding to, or taking from the root, the unknown side is decreased. Consequently, if M is that number which makes the unknown side equal to G or the greatest possible, then $M + y$ or $M - z$ being substituted for x will make the unknown side equal to the same number. Let

$$ax^2 + bx - x^3 = k.$$

$$\text{Then } aM^2 + bM - M^3 = G$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{array}{l} ax^2 \\ + bx \\ - x^3 \end{array} \right\} \left. \begin{array}{l} aM^2 + 2aMy + ay^2 \\ + bM + by \\ - M^3 - 3M^2y - 3My^2 - y^3 \end{array} \right\} = \\ & = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} aM^2 - 2aMz + az^2 \\ + bM - bz \\ - M^3 + 3M^2z - 3Mz^2 + z^3 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore 2aM \times \overline{y+z} + b \times \overline{y+z} = 3M^2 \times \overline{y+z} + a \times \overline{z^2 - y^2} - 3M \times \overline{z^2 - y^2} + z^3 - y^3$$

$$\therefore 2 a M + b = 3 M^2 + \frac{z^2 - y^2}{z + y} \times a - 3 M \times \frac{z^2 - y^2}{z + y} + \frac{z^3 - y^3}{z + y}.$$

Now $\frac{z^2 - y^2}{z + y} \times a - 3 M \times \frac{z^2 - y^2}{z + y} + \frac{z^3 - y^3}{z + y}$ must be equal to nothing, for z and y may be less than any assignable number as well as different assignable numbers.

$$\therefore 2 a M + b = 3 M^2$$

$$\therefore M^2 - \frac{2 a M}{3} = \frac{b}{3}$$

$$\therefore M = \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 3 b}}{3}.$$

In the same manner for the other two forms M will be found by making $x = M + y$ and $M - z$, and the results from the substituting of these terms for x equal.

Hence, for the equation $a x^2 - b x - x^3 = k$.

$$2 a M - 3 M^2 = b$$

and for the equation $b x - a x^2 - x^3 = k$

$$b = 2 a M + 3 M^2.$$

Also for the form admitting three roots there will be a number between e and f which makes the unknown side greater than any number between e and f can do. Therefore $M + y$, or $M - z$ substituted for x in the equation, may make the unknown side equal to the same number.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -ax^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M^3 + 3M^2y + 3My^2 + y^3 \\ -aM^2 - 2aMy - ay^2 \\ +bM + by \end{array} \right\} = \\
 & = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M^3 - 3M^2z + 3Mz^2 - z^3 \\ -aM^2 + 2aMz - az^2 \\ +bM - bz. \end{array} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore 3M^2 \times \overline{z+y} &= 2aM \times \overline{z+y} - b \times \overline{z+y} \\
 + 3M \times \overline{z^2-y^2} &- a \times \overline{z^2-y^2} - z^3 + y^3
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore 3M^2 = 2aM - b + \frac{A-B}{z+y}$$

$$\therefore \frac{b}{3} = \frac{2aM}{3} - M^2$$

$$\therefore M = \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$$

In this case the expression $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ cannot be applied. For $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ is greater than $\frac{a}{3}$.

Therefore $2M$ would be greater than $\frac{2a}{3}$. Consequent-

ly $a - 2M$ which is equal to d would be less than $\frac{a}{3}$ or

the greater root less than the smaller, which is absurd.

Hence, if the equation has only two roots, the least is

equal to $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

Also since $a - 2M = d$ and $M = \frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ a

$$a - \frac{2a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = d = \frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}.$$

Hence, if the equation has two roots the greatest root must be equal to $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ and the least must be equal to $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

Also in this form there may be a number between d and e , making the unknown side less than any other number between d and e can do; and consequently, if this number is called M , then $M + z$ and $M - y$ substituted for x may give equal results. Hence as before

$$\therefore 3M^2 + b = 2aM$$

$$\therefore \frac{b}{3} = \frac{2aM - M^2}{3}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = M - \frac{a}{3} \text{ or } \frac{a}{3} - M$$

$$\therefore M = \frac{a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$$

In this case $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ cannot be applied for

$$a - 2M = f = a - \frac{2a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} =$$

$$\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} \text{ a number greater than } \frac{a}{3}, \text{ and con-}$$

sequently

frequently f the least root would be greater than M , which is absurd. Hence $M = \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

Hence if the equation has three roots f is less than $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, e is greater than $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ but less than $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, and d is greater than $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

If the equation has two roots, then one is equal to $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ and the other is equal to $\frac{a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, or one is equal to $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ and the other is equal to $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

If the equation has only one root, then no numbers $M - z$, $M + y$, can make the unknown side give equal results, and the expressions $a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}$ become impossible, or a^2 is either equal to or less than $3b$, in either of which cases $\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}$ cannot express any number whatsoever. But since the two roots of the equation $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, $\frac{a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ may approach near-

er

et to each other than by any assignable difference, they will approach nearer to $\frac{a}{3}$ than by any assignable difference;

and the same may be said of the two roots $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$,

$\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, that is, the equation will have only

one root when it is equal to $\frac{a}{3}$ and $a^2 = 3b$, or if a^2 is

less than $3b$ there can be only one root. There may be also only one root when a^2 is greater than $3b$, in which

case the root must be greater than $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$

or less than $\frac{a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$.

Instances.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 12x^2 + 47x = 60.$$

$$\text{Here } \frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{12 - \sqrt{144 - 141}}{3} =$$

$$4 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} = 4 - 0, \dots = 3, \dots$$

$$\text{and } \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = 4 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} = 4 + 0, \dots =$$

$$4, \dots$$

Hence,

Hence, if the equation has three roots, one must be less than 3, the next greater than 3, but less than 4, and the third must be greater than 4,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 3 \quad 4 \\ \frac{60}{x} &= 20 \quad 15 \\ x^2 - 12x + 47 &= 20 \quad 15. \end{aligned}$$

Since 3 and 4 are roots, the third root is found by taking away $3 + 4$ from 12. Or the third root is 5.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 18x^2 + 105x = 200.$$

$$\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{18 - \sqrt{3^2 \cdot 4 - 3 \cdot 15}}{3} = 6 - 1 = 5$$

$$\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = 6 + 1 = 7$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 5 \\ \frac{200}{x} &= 40 \\ x^2 - 18x + 105 &= 40. \end{aligned}$$

Since 5 is a root, the equation can have only two roots and the second root is equal to $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ or

$$\frac{18 + 6}{3} = 8.$$

PART II.

L

Let

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 16x^2 + 77x = 98.$$

$$\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{16 - \sqrt{256 - 231}}{3} = \frac{16 - 5}{3} \\ = \frac{11}{3} = 2, \dots$$

$$\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{16 + 5}{3} = 7$$

$$x = 7$$

$$\frac{98}{x} = 14$$

$$x^2 - 16x + 77 = 14.$$

Since one root is equal to $\frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$, the other must be equal to $\frac{a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{16 - 10}{3}$ or $\frac{6}{3}$ or 2.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 12x^2 + 48x = 64.$$

$$\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{12 - \sqrt{144 - 144}}{3} = 4.$$

$$\text{Here } a^2 = 3b.$$

and 4 is therefore the only root.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 6x^2 + 20x = 15.$$

Here $3b$ is greater than a^2 , therefore the equation has only one root, and this root must be less than 6; for if x is

is made 6 the unknown side becomes 120. And if $20x = 6x^2$ or $x = \frac{20}{6} = 3, 3 \dots$ then x^3 is much greater than 15. Therefore the root must be less than 3.3. The numbers 2 and 1 therefore naturally present themselves, and 1 is the root.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 8x^2 + 20x = 400.$$

Here a^2 is greater than $3b$, but the root cannot be less than $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ or $\frac{8 - 2}{3} = 2$, as is evident from inspection: therefore the equation cannot have three roots. Also $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{8 + 4}{3} =$ which is evidently less than the root. Hence, this equation can have only one root, and this root must be greater than 8; for if $x = 8$ or $x^3 = 8x^2$ then the unknown side $= 20x = 160$, a number less than 400.

Since 10 is a divider of 400, it naturally presents itself for trial.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 10 \\ \frac{400}{x} &= 40 \\ x^2 - 8x + 20 &= 40. \end{aligned}$$

Hence 10 is the only root of this equation.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 17x^2 + 54x = 350.$$

$$\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{17 - \sqrt{289 - 162}}{3} = \frac{17 - \sqrt{127}}{3}$$

$$= \frac{17 - 11, \dots}{3} = \frac{5, \dots}{3} = 16 \text{ which fails}$$

$$\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{17 + 11, \dots}{3} = \frac{28, \dots}{3} =$$

$$9, \dots \text{ which fails; for if } x \text{ is 9, the unknown side is impossible.}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & = & 14 \quad 15 \\ \frac{350}{x} & = & 25 \quad 23, \dots \\ x^2 - 17x + 54 & = & 12 \quad 24. \end{array}$$

Hence x is between 14 and 15.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 65x^2 + 914x = 98746.$$

$$\therefore z^3 - 6,5z^2 + 9,14z = 98,746$$

$$\text{And } z \times z^2 - 6,5z + 9,14 = 98,746.$$

If $z^3 = 6,5z^2$ or $z = 6,5$ then $9,14z$ will be less than 98,746, consequently z must be greater than 6,5.

$$\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{6,5 + 2\sqrt{42,25 - 27,42}}{3} =$$

$$\frac{6,5 + 2\sqrt{14,83}}{3} = \frac{6,5 + 6, \dots}{3} = \frac{10, \dots}{3} = 3, \dots$$

Consequently there can be only one root, and that greater from a preceding consideration than 6,5

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 z & = & 7 \quad 7,2 \quad 7,1 \\
 \frac{98,746}{7} & = & 14, \dots 13,71 \quad 13,9 \\
 z^2 - 6,5z + 9,14 & = & 12,64 \quad 14,18 \quad 13,40.
 \end{array}$$

Consequently $z = 7,15 + y$.

Here it may be observed that there is not much difficulty in finding the lower numbers; for $z^2 - 6,5z + 9,14$ is resolvable into $z \times z - 6,5z + 9,14$. Consequently when $z = 7,1$, the expression is $7,1 \times 7,1 - 6,5 + 9,14$ or $7,1 \times ,6 + 9,14 = 4,26 + 9,14 = 13,40$. By means of a book of logarithms the middle row might be continued without difficulty, and thus five or six figures might be easily found for the root.

In searching after any one of the roots in the forms admitting two or three roots, the same methods are to be applied as if the equation had only one root. For within the limits, within which the root under examination lies, any addition to x makes the unknown side increase; any diminution from x makes it decrease. Consequently the reasoning used in forms admitting only one root is applicable to the other forms. These forms are, as was said before

$$\begin{array}{l}
 x^3 + a x^2 + b x = k \\
 x^3 - a x^2 - b x = k \\
 x^3 + a x^2 - b x = k.
 \end{array}$$

For the equation $x^3 + a x^2 + b x = k$ it is evident that x is less than $\sqrt[3]{k}$ or than $\sqrt{\frac{k}{a}}$ or than $\frac{k}{b}$, consequently the least of these numbers will be the nearest limit,

mit, and by substituting this limit for x another less than x may be found.

$$\text{Let } x^3 + 12x^2 + 42x = 261.$$

$$\therefore x \times \overline{x^2 + 12x + 42} = 261$$

$$x \text{ is less than } \sqrt[3]{261} \text{ or } 6, \dots$$

$$x \text{ is less than } \sqrt{\frac{261}{12}} \text{ or } \sqrt{21}, \dots \text{ or } 4, \dots$$

$$x \text{ is less than } \frac{261}{42} \text{ or } \frac{43,3}{7} \text{ or } 6,19$$

$$x = 4 \quad 3$$

$$\frac{261}{4} = 6,5, \dots 87. \text{ Therefore } x = 3$$

$$x^3 + 12x + 42 = 106 \quad 87.$$

$$\text{Let } x^3 + 74x^2 + 8729x = 560783.$$

$$x = 10z$$

$$\therefore z^3 + 7,4z^2 + 87,29z = 560,783$$

$$z \text{ is less than } \sqrt[3]{560,783} \text{ or } 8, \dots$$

$$z \text{ is less than } \sqrt{\frac{560,783}{7,4}} \text{ or } 8, \dots$$

$$z \text{ is less than } \frac{560,783}{87,29} \text{ or } 6, \dots$$

$$z = 5 \quad 4 \quad 4,2 \quad 4,148$$

$$\frac{560,783}{z} = 112 \quad 140,195 \quad 133,519 \quad 135,193$$

$$x \times z + 7,4 + 87,29 = 149,29 \quad 132,89 \quad 136,01 \quad 135,191104.$$

Hence

Hence z is very nearly equal to 4,148 or is equal to $4,148 + v$.

Thus $4,148 + v$ might be used for the first approach to z , but the method of dividers points out a nearer number.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 136,01 & - & 133,519 & = & 2,491 \\ 135,193 & - & 135,191104 & = & ,002 \dots \\ \hline & & \text{Sum} & = & 2,493 \end{array}$$

Difference between 4,148 and 4,2 = ,052 and $\frac{2,493}{,052}$
 $= 047$ and $,047 \times ,05 = ,00234 = ,002 \dots$ nearly
 $\therefore z = 4,14805$ nearly.

Consequently for the first approach $4,14805 \pm v$ might be used, or the process might be continued farther by the mode of dividers, thus:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Increment of } z^2 + 7,4z + 87,29 = 2z\dot{z} + 7,4\dot{z} + \dot{z}^2 = \\ \dot{z} \times 2z + 7,4 + \dot{z} \\ z = 4,148 \text{ and } \dot{z} = ,00005. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Increment of } z^2 + 7,4z + 8,729 = \\ 8,296 + 7,4 + ,00005 \times ,00005 = ,0007848025. \\ \text{To this add } 135,191104 \text{ and } z^2 + 7,4z + 87,29 \text{ by this} \\ \text{increase from } 4,141 \text{ to } 4,1105 \text{ becomes } 135,1918888025 \\ z = 4,14805 \qquad 4,148051 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{560,783}{4,14805} = 135,19196$$

$$z^2 + 7,4z + 8,729 = 135,1918888025 \quad 135,191900498601.$$

Hence z is evidently greater than 4,148051, and for the first approach $4,148051 + v$ might be used.

This

This number agrees with Raphson's solution, who after three approaches makes the root 4,1480514.

The advantage in using dividers is this. The lower series is easily found by deriving each term from the preceding by its increment, and when the root does not consist of a great number of figures, the division requisite for the middle series is not very troublesome. Also the number last found in the lower series serves in the first approach and saves a tedious multiplication. Thus if $x = 4,148051 + v$ or $a + v$

$$\begin{array}{r} \therefore 4,148051 + v \times \\ \hline a^2 + 7,4 a + 8,729 + 2 a v + v^2 + 7,4 v = 560783. \\ \text{But } a^2 + 7,4 a + 8,729 \text{ has already been found to be} \\ \text{equal to } 135,191900498601 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} \therefore 4,148051 + v \times \\ \hline 135,191900498601 + 2 a v + v^2 + 7,4 v = 560,783. \end{array}$$

But by the method of dividers only two figures are gained by each trial, whereas by substitution when v is very small, the number of figures is frequently more than doubled.

In the first instance $x^3 + 12 x^2 + 42 x = 261$,* x was found to be less than 4, and as $x = \frac{261}{x^2 + 12 x + 42}$ if x is less than 4, x must be greater than $\frac{261}{4^2 + 12 \cdot 4 + 42}$ or $\frac{261}{16 + 48 + 42}$ or $\frac{261}{106}$ or 2,

* Page 78.

Hence,

Hence x must lie between 2, and 4,

In general let $x^3 + a x^2 + b x = k$ let d be the least number found which is greater than x . Then $\frac{k}{d^2 + a d + b}$ is less than x . Thus the first number to be used by the mode of dividers is confined within sufficiently narrow limits.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - a x^2 - b x = k.$$

x^3 is greater than $a x^2 + b x$

$\therefore x^2$ is greater than $a x + b$.

$$\text{Let } a x + b = c^2.$$

$\therefore x^2$ is greater than c^2

$\therefore x$ is greater than c .

$$\text{But } x^3 = k + a x^2 + b x$$

$\therefore x$ is greater than $\sqrt{k + a c^2 + b c}$

$$\text{Also } x^3 - k = a x^2 + b x$$

$\therefore x$ is greater than $\sqrt[3]{k}$

Also x is greater than a and x than \sqrt{b} .

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 15 x^2 - 229 x = 525.$$

x is greater than $\sqrt[3]{525}$ or 8,

x is greater than 15

x is greater than $\sqrt{229}$ or 15,

$x^2 - 15 x$ is greater than 229

$\therefore x$ is greater than 24,

$$x = 25$$

$$\frac{525}{x} = 21$$

$$x^2 - 15x - 229 = 21.$$

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 10x^2 - 91x = 16.$$

x is greater than $\sqrt[3]{16}$ or 2,

x is greater than 10

x is greater than $\sqrt{91}$ or 9,

$x^2 - 10x$ is greater than 91 or 15,

$$x = 16 \quad 15,8 \quad 15,817$$

$$\frac{16}{x} = 1 \quad 1,0126 \quad 1,0115$$

$$x \times x - 10 - 91 = 5,64 \quad 1,007489.$$

$$\text{Hence } x = 15,8172 + z.$$

$$\text{Let } x^3 + ax^2 - bx = k.$$

Then $x^2 + ax$ is greater than b

$$\therefore x \text{ is greater than } \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + 4b} - a}{2}$$

$$x^3 = k + bx - ax^2$$

If bx is greater than ax^2 or b greater than a then x is greater than $\sqrt[3]{k}$.

But if b is less than a then x is less than $\sqrt[3]{k}$.

$$\text{Also } ax^2 = k + bx - x^3.$$

If b is greater than x^2 then x is greater than $\sqrt{\frac{k}{a}}$.

But

But if b is less than x^2 , then x is less than $\sqrt{\frac{k}{a}}$.

$$\text{Let } x^3 + 4x^2 - 17x = 12.$$

x is greater than $\frac{\sqrt{16 + 78} - 4}{2}$ or 2, also x is greater than $\sqrt[3]{k}$ or 2, and x is greater than $\sqrt{\frac{k}{a}}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{12}{4}}$ or 1,

$$x = 3$$

$$\frac{12}{3} = 4 \therefore x = 4$$

$$x^2 + 4x - 17 = 4$$

$$\text{Let } x^3 + 22x^2 - 103x = 4.$$

x is greater than $\sqrt{\frac{a^2}{2} + b} - \frac{a}{2}$ or $\sqrt{121 + 103}$
 $= 14, \dots - 11$ or 3,

$$x = 4$$

$$\frac{4}{x} = 1 \therefore x = 4$$

$$x^2 + 22x - 103 = 1.$$

$$\text{Let } x^3 + 6x^2 - 183x = 2704.$$

x is greater than $\sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} + 183} - 3$ or 10, . . .

x is greater than $\sqrt[3]{k}$ or $\sqrt[3]{1704}$ or 14,

x is less than $\sqrt{\frac{k}{a}}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{2704}{6}}$ or 21,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 x & = & 15 \quad 16 \\
 \frac{2704}{x} & = & 180 \quad 169 \\
 x^2 + 6x - 183 & = & 132 \quad 169.
 \end{array}$$

Therefore $x = 16$.

ANOTHER mode of discovering the number of roots in the equation $x^3 - ax^2 + bx = k$

x^3 may be taken, first less, then greater than ax^2 .

If x is taken less than a , then the unknown side is less than bx ; and if x is made equal to a then the unknown side is equal to bx . Consequently, if ba is equal to or less than k no number less than a can make the unknown side equal to k ; and since the unknown side always increases, from the time that x is equal to a , by adding to x , the equation can have only one root. Hence if b is equal to or less than $\frac{k}{a}$ the equation has only one root.

When x is taken less than a ,

$$ax^2 - x^3 = bx - k.$$

When x is taken greater than a

$$x^3 - ax^2 = k - bx.$$

In the first case, namely, when $ax^2 - x^3$ is equal to $bx - k$, let $bx - k = mx$, m being a variable number and

$$ax^2 - x^3 = mx$$

$$\text{or } ax - x^2 = m.$$

Now the side $ax - x^2$ first increases and then decreases;

creases; but m being equal to $b - \frac{k}{x}$ must as x increases
 always increase. $ax - x^2$ is greatest when $x = \frac{a}{2}$. Con-
 sequently, if in this case $ax - x^2$ is less than m , no
 number greater than $\frac{a}{2}$ can be substituted for x in this
 equation, and this equation admits only of one root.
 Hence the given equation $x^3 - ax^2 + bx = k$ cannot
 have more than two roots if $\frac{a^2}{4}$ is less than m . If $\frac{a^2}{4}$ is
 greater than m some number less than $\frac{a}{2}$ will make $ax - x^2$
 equal to m , and then if the increase of $ax - x^2$
 in that case is greater than m , some other greater number,
 but less than a , will make $ax - x^2$ equal again to m ,
 and thus the equation $ax - x^2 = m$ will have two roots,
 and consequently the given equation $x^3 - ax^2 + bx = k$
 will have three roots. Now m begins to exist at a cer-
 tain value of x , namely, when $x = \frac{k}{b}$ and its increase
 is greatest at first, but grows continually less and less.
 Also the increase of $ax - x^2$ is greatest at first and con-
 stantly diminishes. Hence, m may become equal to
 $ax - x^2$ before x is equal to $\frac{a}{2}$, and then its increase
 may be less than that of $ax - x^2$, consequently the e-
 quation will have two roots. But if the increase of m is
 greater than that of $ax - x^2$, when m first becomes
 equal to $ax - x^2$, and is also greater when $x = \frac{a}{2}$, then
 there cannot be another root to the equation $ax - x^2 =$
 m ,

m , and consequently the given equation will have only two roots. A few instances will make this subject very easy to the learner.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 18x^2 + 105x = 200.$$

When x is less than 18, $105x$ may be greater than 200. Consequently $ax - x^2 = m$.

Let $x = 9$ then $ax - x^2 = 81$ and $m = 105 - 22,2 = 82,7$. Hence the equation $ax - x^2 = m$ has only one root, and therefore the given equation has only two roots. By trying the expression $\frac{a - \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ or $\frac{18 - \sqrt{324 - 315}}{3}$ or 5 it appears that 5 is a root, and consequently the other root is $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ or 8.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 16x^2 + 77x = 98.$$

If x is less than 16, $77x$ may be greater than 98, therefore $16x - x^2 = m$.

If $x = 8$, then $16x - x^2 = 64$, and $m = 77 - \frac{98}{8} = 77 - 12,25 = 64,75$.

Hence, the given equation has only two roots; one of which consequently is $\frac{a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ or $\frac{16 \pm \sqrt{256 - 231}}{3}$

or

or $\frac{16 \pm 5}{3}$ or 7 or 3, If 7 is one root, then $\frac{16 - 2.5}{3}$ or 2 is the other root, and either 7 or 2 being tried succeeds, consequently the two roots are 7 and 2.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 12x^2 + 48x = 64.$$

If x is less than 12 then $48x$ may be greater than 64, and if $x = 6$ then $12x - x^2 = 36$. But $m = 48 - \frac{64}{6} = 48 - 10.66 = 37.33$.

Hence it might be presumed that the equation has two roots; but on applying the expression $\frac{a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ it appears that $a^2 = 36$, and consequently this is the limiting case in which there is only one root $\frac{a}{3}$ or 4.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 6x^2 + 20x = 15.$$

If x is less than 6, $20x$ may be greater than 15, and if x is made equal to 3, then $6x - x^2 = 9$ and $m = 20 - \frac{15}{3} = 15$.

Hence, the equation has either three roots or only one. If there are three roots one must be greater than $\frac{6}{3}$ or 2; but 2 being tried is found to be greater than the root, consequently the equation can have only one root unity.

Let

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 8x^2 + 20x = 400.$$

If x is less than 8, $20x$ cannot be greater than 400, therefore the equation can have only one root, which is ten.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 8x^2 + 20x = 13.$$

If x is less than 8, $20x$ may be greater than 13, and if x is equal to 4, $8x - x^2 = 16$, and $m = 20 - \frac{13}{4} = 20 - 3,25 = 16,75$. Hence there is a presumption that the equation has only two roots, which is increased by a^2 being greater than $3b$; but 4 and 3 are greater than the root, consequently the equation has either three roots or one. But if it has three roots, one must be greater than 2, but any number greater than 2 makes the unknown side greater than 13, and consequently the equation can have only one root unity.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 12x^2 + 41x = 42.$$

If x is less than 12, then $41x$ may be greater than 42, and if $x = 6$ then $12x - x^2 = 36$, and $m = 41 - \frac{42}{6} = 34$. Hence the equation has either three roots or

one root; but if it has only one root that root must be less than $\frac{a - 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$ or greater than $\frac{a + 2\sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3}$,

that is, it must be less than $\frac{12 - 2\sqrt{144 - 123}}{3}$ or less

than

than unity, which is evidently impossible, or it must be greater than $\frac{12+2\sqrt{144-123}}{3}$ or greater than 7. On trying the latter supposition, it appears that 7 is a root, and the equation therefore has two other roots which are easily found to be 2 and 3.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 15x^2 + 63x = 50.$$

If x is less than 15 then $63x$ may be greater than 50, and if $x = \frac{15}{2}$, then $15x - x^2 = 56,25$ and $m = 63$

$$\rightarrow \frac{50}{\frac{15}{2}} = 63 - 6,66 = 56,33. \text{ Hence the equation will}$$

have only two roots, or if it has three, two will be very

$$\text{near to each other. } \frac{a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 3b}}{3} = \frac{15 \pm \sqrt{225 - 189}}{3}$$

$$= \frac{15 \pm 6}{3} = 7 \text{ or } 3.$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & & = 3 \quad 7 \quad 1 \\ \frac{50}{x} & & = 16,6 \quad 7,1 \quad 50 \\ x^2 - 15x + 63 & = & 27 \quad 7 \quad 49. \end{array}$$

On trying 3 it does not succeed; but 7 is very near to the root, consequently two roots are nearly equal to 7, and the other root must be nearly equal to one. On trying unity it appears that it is somewhat less than the root.

This method of finding the number of roots is improved by comparing together the increase of $ax - x^2$ and m , when x is increased by a number x .

PART II.

N

By

By adding \dot{x} to x , $ax - x^2$ becomes

$$ax + a\dot{x} - x^2 - 2x\dot{x} - \dot{x}^2$$

take away $ax - x^2$

The increase is $a\dot{x} - 2x\dot{x} - \dot{x}^2$ or $\dot{x} \times \overline{a - 2x - \dot{x}}$

$$m = b - \frac{k}{x}$$

$$m \text{ increased} = b - \frac{k}{x + \dot{x}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{increase of } m &= \frac{k}{x} - \frac{k}{x + \dot{x}} = \frac{k}{x} - \frac{k}{x} + \frac{k\dot{x}}{x^2} - \\ &\frac{k\dot{x}^2}{x^3} + \frac{k\dot{x}^3}{x^4} \dots \mp \frac{k\dot{x}^{n-2}}{x^{n-1}} \pm \frac{k\dot{x}^{n-1}}{x^n} \times \frac{1}{x + 1} = \\ &\frac{k\dot{x}}{x^2} - \frac{k\dot{x}^2}{x^3} + \frac{k\dot{x}^3}{x^4} \dots \mp \frac{k\dot{x}^{n-2}}{x^{n-1}} \pm \frac{k\dot{x}^{n-1}}{x^n} \\ &\times \frac{1}{x + 1} \end{aligned}$$

And this increase, if \dot{x} is very small, is very nearly equal to $\frac{k\dot{x}}{x^2}$.

Consequently the increase of $ax - x^2$ is to the increase of m as $a - 2x$ to $\frac{k}{x^2}$ nearly.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 8x^2 + 20x = 13.$$

Here $m = 20 - \frac{13}{x}$ and begins from $\frac{13}{20}$, that is, m cannot be less than or equal to $\frac{13}{20}$. Hence unity is taken as the first value of x in comparing $ax - x^2$ with m , or $8x - x^2$ with $20 - \frac{13}{x}$, and it appears that if $x = 1$ then

$8x - x^2 = 20 - \frac{13}{x} = 7$, consequently unity is a root of the proposed equation; and here the increase of m is greater than that of $ax - x^2$. If $x = 1$, the increase of $m = 6,5$ and that of $ax - x^2 = 5$. If x again is equal to unity, since x is now equal to two, the increase of $ax - x^2$ is greater than that of m , but m is greater than $ax - x^2$ when $x = 2$, and is also greater than $ax - x^2$ when $x = 3$, but they are so nearly equal to each other in this last case, and the increase of $ax - x^2$ is so much greater than m that the trouble of comparing the farther process of the increase of each is greater than that of trying the equation by means of the discovered root unity. From this root, by means of the equation

$$x^2 + ex + e^2 + b = a \times \overline{x + e}$$

$$\text{or } x^2 + x + 1 + 20 = 8 \times \overline{x + 1}$$

$$\text{or } 13 = 7x - x^2$$

it appears, that there cannot be another root, for $\left[\frac{7}{2}\right]^2$ is less than 13. But as $\left[\frac{7}{2}\right]^2$ is nearly equal to 13, the reason of the above process being troublesome is apparent.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 18x^2 + 105x = 200.$$

Here the limit of m is $\frac{200}{105}$ or 1, . . . and when $x = 2$, $m = 5$ and $18x - x^2 = 32$; but when $x = 2$, the increase of m is to that of $ax - x^2$ as 50 to 14, and when $x = 5$, the increase of m is equal to that of $ax - x^2$. Consequently $ax - x^2$ is equal to m when x is either

N 2

equal

equal to five or nearly equal to five. On trying five, it appears that five is a root; but as the increase of m is again equal to that of $a x - x^2$, when x is some number between 5 and 9, there will be another root to the equation, and consequently the given equation, since it can have no root when x is equal to or greater than 18, can have but two roots.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 16 x^2 + 77 x = 98.$$

The limit of m is $\frac{98}{77}$ or 1, Consequently 2 is tried for x , and it appears that $16 x - x^2$ is then equal to m or $77 - \frac{98}{2}$. The increase of $16 x - x^2$ is first less than that of m , and then greater and then less again, and they are equal when $x = 7$. Consequently the other root will be either 7 or nearly equal to 7, and as 7 succeeds there can be no other root.

One advantage attending this mode is, that it gives a learner a practical knowledge of equations, which will be very useful as he advances in science.

Equations of this form may be changed into equations of the second order, and thus admit frequently an easy mode of solution *.

$$x^3 - a x^2 + b x = k.$$

* See Part I. page 139.

Let

$$\text{Let } x = \frac{a}{3} \pm z.$$

$$\therefore x^3 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\frac{a}{3} \right)^3 \pm 3 \cdot \left(\frac{a}{3} \right)^2 z + 3 \frac{a}{3} z^2 \pm z^3 \\ - a x^2 \left\{ - a \cdot \left(\frac{a}{3} \right)^2 \mp 2 \cdot \frac{a a}{3} z - a z^2 \right. \\ \left. + b x \left\{ b \cdot \frac{a}{3} + b \cdot z \right. \right\} \end{array} \right\} = k.$$

In the new equation, one term is $z^2 \times \frac{3a}{3} - a$, that is $z^2 \times \overline{a - a}$ or 0. Hence, in any proposed equation of this form, by making x equal to $\frac{a}{3} \pm z$, a new equation of the second order is the result, in which there is not an unknown number raised to the second power.

Instance.

$$x^3 - 3x^2 - 36x = 342.$$

$$\text{Let } x = \frac{a}{3} + y = 1 + y$$

$$\therefore x^3 = 1 + 3y + 3y^2 + y^3 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} - 3x^2 = -3 - 6y - 3y^2 \\ - 36x = -36 - 36y \end{array} \right\} = 342$$

$$\therefore -38 - 39y + y^3 = 342$$

$$\therefore y^3 - 39y = 380$$

$$\text{If } y = 8 \quad 9$$

$$\frac{380}{y} = 47,5 \quad 42,22$$

$$y^2 - 39 = 25 \quad 42$$

$\therefore y$

$$\therefore y = 9 + z$$

$$y^3 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 729 + 243z + 27z^2 + z^3 \\ - 39y \left\{ \begin{array}{l} - 351 - 39z \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} = 380$$

$$\therefore 378 + 204z + 27z^2 + z^3 = 380$$

$$\therefore 204z = 2 - 27z^2 - z^3$$

$$\therefore 68z = ,66\beta - 9z^2 - \frac{z^3}{3}$$

$$\therefore z = ,0097912 \text{ and } y = 9 + z = 9,0097912$$

$$\text{But } x = 1 + y \therefore x = 10,0097912$$

$$\therefore x^3 = 1002,940236966582020054528$$

$$\begin{array}{r} - 3x^2 = - 300,58775960279232 \\ - 36x = - 360,3524832 \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{r} - 3x^2 \\ - 36x \end{array}} \right\} = -$$

$$\text{Sum} = 660,94024280279232$$

$$\therefore x^3 - 3x^2 - 36x = 341,99999416378970054528$$

which is less than 342 by ,00000 583, &c.

The learner will see the advantage of this change by solving the original equation $x^3 - 3x^2 - 36x = 342$ in its present state, and having discovered that x is nearly equal to ten, he will make it equal to $10 + z$, and then proceed by the usual mode of approach.

By changing the equation in this manner the root may be discovered by a comparison of the dividers of the known terms in the original and the new equation *.

$$\text{Let } x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x = 24.$$

x is a divider of 24, and the dividers of 24 are 1 2 3
4 6 8 12 24.

* See Part I. page 140.

The new equation is $y^3 - y = 24$.

y is therefore a divider of 24, and $y = x + 1$. Therefore, by adding unity to one of the dividers of 24, a number will be found which is also a divider of 24, and consequently may be a root of the proposed equation. On examination there are three numbers answering to this condition 1 2 3. Consequently one of them must be the root of the proposed equation; but if $x = 3$ then x^3 is alone greater than 24, and 3 cannot be a root. Unity also cannot evidently be the root. Therefore 2 is the root of the given equation.

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 18x^2 + 105x = 200.$$

Dividers 1 2 4 5 8 10.

$$\text{Let } x = 6 \pm y.$$

The new known term is either $200 - 6^3 + 18 \cdot 6^2 - 105 \cdot 6$, which is equal to 2, whose dividers are 1 and 2.

Hence 4 5 8 answer to the conditions, and they cannot all three be roots, for $4 + 5 + 8$ is not equal to 18, and 8 and 5 being roots there cannot be another root, for 6, which must be the root, if the equation has three roots, is not a divider of 200.

If x had been made equal to $y - 6$ the new known term would be $200 + 6^3 + 18 \cdot 6^2 + 105 \cdot 6$ or 1694, whose dividers are 1 2 7 11 14, and consequently x is to be looked for among the numbers $7 - 6$, $11 - 6$, $14 - 6$ or 1, 5 and 8, and, as before, the two roots are found to be 5 and 8.

Let

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 12x^2 + 48x = 64.$$

The dividers of 64 are 1 2 4 8, the new known term is $64 \mp 64 + 12 \times 16 \mp 48 \times 4$ by making $x = 4 \pm y$, that is 0 or 512. Hence it is evident that $x = 4$.

This mode of solution can seldom be applied with advantage unless the co-part of the second term $a x^2$ is divisible by three.

The rule of double position for the solution of equations is recommended by such high authority that it deserves particular examination, and to do this with greater justice, the rule shall be given in the recommender's words, together with his own example, to be compared with the same equation solved by the method of dividers.

“ RULE.

“ 1. FIND, by trial, two numbers, as near the true root as possible, and substitute them in the given equation instead of the unknown quantity; marking the errors which arise from each of them.

“ 2. Multiply the difference of the two numbers, found by trial, by the least error, and divide the product by the difference of the errors, when they are alike, but by their sum when they are unlike. Or say, As the difference or sum of the errors is to the difference of the two numbers, so is the least error to the correction of its supposed number.

“ 3. Add the quotient, last found, to the number belonging

ing to the least error, when that number is too little, but subtract it when too great, and the result will give the true root *nearly*.

“4. Take this root and the nearest of the two former, or any other that may be found nearer; and by proceeding in like manner, a root will be had still nearer than before; and so on to any degree of exactness required.

“EXAMPLE.

“To find the root of the equation $x^3 + x^2 + x = 100$, or the value of x in it.

“Here it is soon found that x lies between 4 and 5. Assume therefore these two numbers, and the operation will be as follows:

1st Sup.	2d Sup.
4 - - x - -	5
16 - - x^2 - -	25
64 - - x^3 - -	125
<hr/> 84 - fums -	<hr/> 155
<hr/> -16 - errors -	<hr/> +55

the sum of which is 71.
Then as $71 : 1 :: 16 : .225$.
Hence $x = 4.225$ nearly.

“Again, suppose 4.2 and 4.3, and repeat the work as follows:

1st Sup.	2d Sup.
4.2 - - x -	4.3
17.64 - - x^2 -	18.49
74.088 - - x^3 -	79.507
<hr/> 95.928 - fums -	<hr/> 102.297
<hr/> -4.072 - errors -	<hr/> +2.297

the sum of which is 6.369
As $6.369 : 1 :: 2.297 : 0.036$
This taken from - 4.300

leaves x nearly = 4.264

" Again, suppose 4.264 and 4.265, and work as follows :

4.264	-	x	-	4.265
18.181696	-	x^2	-	18.190225
77.526752	-	x^3	-	77.581310
<hr/> 99.972448	-	sums	-	<hr/> 100.036535
<hr/> -0.027552	-	errours	-	<hr/> +0.036535

the sum of which is .064087.

Then as .064087 : .001 :: 4.264 : 0.0004299

To this adding - 4.264

gives x very nearly = 4.2644299."

The same example worked out by the mode of dividers.

$$\text{Let } x^3 + x^2 + x = 100.$$

$$\text{Therefore } x \times \overline{x^2 + x + 1} = 100$$

$$\text{If } x = 4 \ 5 \ 4,26 \ 4,27$$

$$\frac{100}{x} = 25 \ 20 \ 23,4741 \ 23,4192$$

$$x^2 + x + 1 = 21 \ 31 \ 23,4076 \ 23,5029$$

$$\text{Diff.} = 4 \ 11 \ ,0665 \ ,0836$$

$$4 + 11 = 15 \ \frac{15}{10} = 1,5 \text{ and } \frac{4}{1,5} = \frac{8}{3} = \frac{,266}{,1}$$

Hence 4,26 must be a nearer value of x , and on trying 4,26 it appears to be so near to x that 4,27 must be greater than x .

,0665

$$\frac{,0665 + ,0836}{10} = \frac{,1501}{10} = ,01501 \text{ and } \frac{,06650}{,01501} = 4,430.$$

Hence, x is equal to 4,26443 nearly.

By the mode of double position, the first step gives 4,225, and to do this the division of 16 by 71 to four places is necessary.

By the mode of dividers the first step gives a nearer number for the root, namely, 4,26, and a division by one figure only is necessary.

By the mode of double position, the second step gives 4,264; but to do this the numbers 4,2 4,3 must be raised to the third powers, and 2,297 must be divided by 6,369 as far as four places.

By the mode of dividers, the second step gives 4,26443, and to do this 100 must be divided by each of the numbers 426 and 427 to fix places, and the numbers 4,26 and 4,27 must be raised to the second powers or derived by the mode of increments more easily from the value of $x^2 + x + 1$ when $x = 4$.

By the mode of double position 4,264 and 4,265 must be raised to the third powers, and 4,264 is to be divided by ,064087; and when this operation is performed the result is very nearly the same with that obtained by the mode of dividers by two operations, each easier than the corresponding operation by the rule of double position.

O 2

Thus,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 \text{Thus, by double position } x & = & 4,2644299 \\
 \text{by dividers} & - & x = 4,2644303 \\
 \text{difference} & - & = 0,0000004
 \end{array}$$

Hence it appears, that the mode of dividers is far preferable to that by double position, and the number 4,26443 having been obtained x should be made equal to $4,26443 \pm z$, and by the method of approach a greater number of figures will be obtained than by either the rule of double position or the mode of dividers.

Another mode stands recommended by the authority of Dr. Halley, but it is probable that very few persons since his time have adopted it, and a very accurate investigation of it by Baron Maseres in several instances has proved its inferiority to the simple method of approach. Instead of rejecting all the powers of z in the new equation, as in the simple mode of approach, Dr. Halley retains the terms, having in them z^2 . Thus let $x^3 - ax^2 + bx = c$.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{Make } x = d \pm z \\
 \therefore x^3 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d^3 \pm 3d^2z + 3dz^2 \pm z^3 \\ -ax^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -ad^2 \mp 2adz - az^2 \\ +bx \left\{ \begin{array}{l} +bd \pm bz \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} = k
 \end{array}$$

By neglecting only the term z^3 there remains an equation of the second class to be solved, the copart of whose simple term z being $\frac{\pm 3d^2 \mp 2ad \pm b}{3d - a}$, will, after the first operation, be a fraction with a considerable number of figures in both the upper and the lower parts.

Now

Now half of this fraction is to be raised to the second power, and then the second root of the known term added to the new fraction is to be extracted, so that though a greater number of figures will be attained by each operation, yet the trouble of obtaining them far outbalances this advantage, and in practice it will be found much easier to use more operations by the simple mode of approach to arrive at the same number of figures.

C H A P. V.

EQUATIONS OF THE FOURTH CLASS.

EQUATIONS of the fourth class have four terms on the unknown side, and are divided into four orders. Those in the first order can have only one root, in the second only two, in the third three roots, and in the fourth four roots.

$$\text{I. } \begin{cases} 1. x^m + a x^n + b x^o + c x^p = k \\ 2. x^m + a x^n + b x^o - c x^p = k \\ 3. x^m + a x^n - b x^o - c x^p = k \\ 4. x^m - a x^n - b x^o - c x^p = k. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{II. } \begin{cases} 1. a x^n + b x^o + c x^p - x^m = k \\ 2. a x^n + b x^o - c x^p - x^m = k \\ 3. a x^n - b x^o - c x^p - x^m = k \\ 4. b x^o + c x^p - a x^n - x^m = k \\ 5. b x^o - c x^p - a x^n - x^m = k \\ 6. c x^p - b x^o - a x^n - x^m = k. \end{cases}$$

III.

$$\text{III. } \begin{cases} 1. x^m - a x^n + b x^o + c x^p = k \\ 2. x^m + a x^n - b x^o + c x^p = k \\ 3. x^m - a x^n - b x^o + c x^p = k \\ 4. x^m - a x^n + b x^o - c x^p = k. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{IV. } c x^p - b x^o + a x^n - x^m = k.$$

In equations of the first and third orders the terms on the unknown side are written down according to the indexes of the unknown powers $m n o p$. In the second and fourth orders the terms are not written down in the succession of the indexes, but the highest index is in the last term. In the first order there is either no change or only one change of the marks of adding and subtracting. In the third order there are either two or three changes of the marks of adding and subtracting. Hence, if an equation in these orders is written down agreeably to the indexes, the number of roots in the form to which it belongs is determined by observing the change in the marks: if there is either no change or one change the equation can have only one root: if there are either two or three changes (that is, if there is more than one change) the equation belongs to a form capable of having three roots.

In the second order there are either one or two changes of the marks; in the fourth order there are three changes of the marks. These changes are observed by reading the equation according to the indexes $m n o p$. Thus, in the equation

$$b x^o - c x^p - a x^n - x^m = k$$

by reading it in the order prescribed $- x^m, - a x^n, + b x^o, - c x^p$ the two first terms x^m and $a x^n$ have the same

same mark, the third term has a different mark, consequently there is one change in reading the three terms x^m , $a x^n$, $b x^o$, and the two terms $b x^o$, $c x^p$ have different marks, consequently there are two changes of marks by reading according to the order of the indexes. Hence, if in an equation of this class, the unknown term, with the highest index, is not the first term, the equation belongs to the second or the fourth order. If there are either one or two changes of the marks, the equation is of the form capable of having two roots. If there are three changes of the marks, the equation is of the fourth order, and of a form capable of having four roots.

Let it be required to determine the number of roots in the equation $54 x^3 - 32 x^2 + 20 x^4 - 50 x = 200$.

The equation written in order is $20 x^4 + 54 x^3 - 32 x^2 - 50 x = 200$, consequently the equation having only one change, and the highest power of the unknown number being in the first term, the equation can have only one root.

$$\text{Let } 30 x^2 - 12 x - 50 x^4 + 172 x^3 = 340.$$

$$\therefore 172 x^3 + 30 x^2 - 12 x - 50 x^4 = 340.$$

The term x^4 being last, and there being two changes in the marks, the equation cannot have more than two roots.

$$\text{Let } 20 x - 50 x^2 - 30 x^3 + 40 x^4 = 2012.$$

$$\therefore 40 x^4 - 30 x^3 - 50 x^2 + 20 x = 2012.$$

The term $40 x^4$ being the first, and there being two changes

changes of the marks, the equation cannot have more than three roots.

$$\text{Let } 70x^3 - 12x^2 + 50x - 30x^4 = 59.$$

$$\therefore 50x - 12x^2 + 70x^3 - 30x^4 = 59.$$

The term $30x^4$ being the last, and there being three changes of the marks, the equation is of the fourth order, and consequently is of a form capable of having four roots.

To find the relation of the coparts and roots in the equation

$$cx - bx^2 + ax^3 - x^4 = k.$$

Let e, f, g, h be the roots. Then

$$ce - be^2 + ae^3 - e^4 = k$$

$$\text{and } cf - bf^2 + af^3 - f^4 = k$$

$$\therefore c \times \overline{e - f} - b \times \overline{e^2 - f^2} + a \times \overline{e^3 - f^3} = e^4 - f^4$$

$$\therefore c - b \times \overline{e + f} + a \times \overline{e^2 + ef + f^2} = e^3 + e^2f + ef^2 + f^3$$

$$c - b \times \overline{e + g} + a \times \overline{e^2 + eg + g^2} = e^3 + e^2g + eg^2 + g^3$$

$$\therefore ae \times \overline{f - g} + a \times \overline{f^2 - g^2} - b \times \overline{f - g} = e^2 \times \overline{f - g} + e \times \overline{f^2 - g^2} + f^3 - g^3$$

$$\therefore ae + a \times \overline{f + g} - b = e^2 + e \times \overline{f + g} + f^2 + fg + g^2$$

$$ae + a \times \overline{f + h} - b = e^2 + e \times \overline{f + h} + f^2 + fh + h^2$$

$$\therefore a \times \overline{g - h} = e \times \overline{g - h} + f \times \overline{g - h} + g^2 - h^2$$

$$\therefore a = e + f + g + h.$$

By the same process as in pages 25, 52, it is proved that b is equal to the sum of the products of each pair of roots,

roots, c the sum of the products of the roots taken by three's, k the product of all the roots.

If one of the roots of an equation in this order is known, the other three may be found by means of an equation of the third class. Let e be the root known, then the equation to find the roots is

$$c - b \times e + x + a \times e^2 + ex + x^2 = e^3 + e^2x + ex^2 + x^3.$$

Instance.

$$11208x - 1708x^2 + 78x^3 - x^4 = 16192$$

$$x = 1 \quad 2$$

$$\frac{16192}{x} = 16192 \ 8096$$

$$11208 - 1708x + 78x^2 - x^3 = 9577 \ 8096.$$

Hence 2 is a root of the proposed equation.

$$\therefore 11208 - 1708 \times 2 + x + 78 \times 4 + 2x + x^2 = 8 + 4x + 2x^2 + x^3$$

$$\therefore 11208 - 3416 + 312 - 8 = 1708x - 156x + 4x - 78x^2 + 2x^2 + x^3$$

$$\therefore 8096 = 1556x - 76x^2 + x^3$$

$$x = 10 \quad 8$$

$$\frac{8096}{x} = 809,6 \ 1012$$

$$1556 - 76x + x^2 = 896 \ 1012.$$

Hence 8 is another root of the proposed equation, and *

* See page 53.

$$x^2 + 8x + 64 + 1556 = 76 \times \overline{8 + x}$$

$$1620 - 608 = 76x - 8x - x^2$$

$$\therefore 1012 = 68x - x^2$$

$$\therefore \sqrt{34^2 - 1012} = \begin{cases} 34 - x \text{ or} \\ x - 34 \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore 12 = \begin{cases} 34 - x \text{ or} \\ x - 34 \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore x = 46 \text{ or } 22.$$

Hence the roots of the proposed equation are 2, 8, 22, 46 and

$$2 + 8 + 22 + 46 = 78.$$

$$\text{Also } 2 \times 8 + 2 \times 22 + 2 \times 46 + 8 \times 22 + 8 \times 46 + 22 \times 46 = 1708$$

$$\text{And } 2 \times 8 \times 22 + 2 \times 22 \times 46 + 2 \times 8 \times 46 + 8 \times 22 \times 46 = 11208.$$

$$\text{Also } 2 \times 8 \times 22 \times 46 = 16192.$$

If the equation is of either of the orders admitting more than one root, and one root is known, the remaining root or roots may be discovered by an equation of the third class.

The relations between the coparts and the roots of these equations may be found in the same manner as in the equations of the lower classes.

When the equation has more than one root, some number between any two roots being substituted for x , will make the unknown side greater than any other between those two roots can do; and to find this, make $x = M + y$ or $M - z$ as in pages 29—67: and, by comparing together

together in the same manner the two equations thus formed, an equation will be discovered, whose roots are the different values of M , or the limits between the roots of the proposed equation. Thus let the equation be of the form

$$x^4 - ax^3 + bx^2 - cx = k$$

The limiting equation is

$$4x^3 - 3ax^2 + 2bx = c$$

or each term is to be multiplied into the index of the unknown term in it, and the marks of all the unknown terms are to remain the same except that of the last unknown term, which is made equal to the sum or difference of the other unknown terms thus found, and then the new equation is divided by x . Let

$$cx - bx^2 - ax^3 - x^4 = k.$$

Then for the limiting equation

$$cx - 2bx^2 - 3ax^3 = 4x^4$$

$$\therefore c - 2bx - 3ax^2 = 4x^3.$$

Instance.

$$\text{Let } 11208x - 1708x^2 + 78x^3 - x^4 = 16192.$$

The limiting equation is

$$11208x - 2.1708x^2 + 3.78x^3 = 4x^4$$

or

$$11208 - 2.1708x + 3.78x^2 = 4x^3$$

$$\therefore 2802 = 854x - 58,5x^2 + x^3$$

$$x = 5 \quad 4 \quad 10 \quad 15 \quad 16$$

$$\frac{2802}{x} = 560,4 \quad 700 \quad 280,2 \quad 186 \quad 175$$

$$854 - 58,5x + x^2 = 586,5 \quad 636 \quad 369 \quad 202,5 \quad 174.$$

P 2

Hence

Hence the three roots of the limiting equation are 4, 16, and 37, and consequently the roots of the proposed equation are the least, less than four, the next between 4, and 16, the third between 16, and 37, and the fourth between 37, and 57. The last number 57 is found by taking 4, + 16, from 78; for if two of the roots are greater than 4, + 16, that is than 20, the other two roots must be less than $78 - 20$, that is than 57, and consequently the greatest root must be less than 57. In this case the limiting equation is of little or rather of no use towards the discovery of the roots of the proposed equation, as the four roots of the proposed equation are discovered with as little difficulty as these limiting roots.

The modes adopted for discovering the relation between the coparts and roots of equations of the second and third classes may be applied to this class, as may also the various methods for determining the number of roots in any order of this class. But from the greater number of unknown terms the trouble is rather increased.

Instances.

$$x^4 - 20x^3 + 9x^2 + 100x = 9246.$$

If x is less than 20, the unknown term is less than $9x^2 + 100x$, and if x is equal to 20 the unknown term is less than 9246, consequently the equation has only one root; for by adding to x , when the unknown term is equal to

9246,

9246, it is increased, and by taking away from x the unknown term is diminished.

$$14937 x - 1998 x^2 + 80 x^3 - x^4 = 5000.$$

One root is evidently less than unity, and the unknown side may be divided into two parts, of which the one

$14937 x - 1998 x^2$ increases till x is equal to $\frac{14937}{2 \cdot 1998}$ or

3, and then decreases till x is equal to $\frac{14937}{1998}$ or

7, The other part $80 x^3 - x^4$ increases till x is equal to 60, and then decreases. Consequently, since one root is less than unity there cannot be another root less than 3, Nor can there be another root between 3, and 7, for if $x = 4$, then $80 x^3 - x^4$ is greater than 5000, and consequently the unknown side must be greater than 5000 when x is between 3, and 7, When x is greater than 7, then the part $14937 x - 1998 x^2$ is not to be added to $80 x^3 - x^4$, but $1998 x^2 - 14937 x$ is to be taken away from it, and the increase of $1998 x^2 - 14937 x$ is when x is 8 or a number greater than 8 considerably greater than the increase of $80 x^3 - x^4$. Consequently there will be another root to this equation; but when $x = 20$ the increase of $80 x^3 - x^4$ is nearly equal to that of $1998 x^2 - 14937 x$, and when x is 30 the increase of $80 x^3 - x^4$ is much greater than that of $1998 x^2 - 14937 x$, consequently there will be a root between 7, and 20. Now the part $1998 x^2 - 14937 x$ constantly increases; but there is no increase of $80 x^3 - x^4$ when $x = 60$, consequently when x is between 30 and 60 the unknown side must become again equal to
5000,

5000, and unless this takes place when the unknown side is the greatest possible there must be two more roots to the equation *. But it is evident that the number making the unknown side the greatest possible is fractional, and therefore there will be four roots to the equation.

Call $14937 - 1998x + 80x^2 - x^3$ equal to z . Then
 $x \times z = 5000$

$$\begin{array}{r} x = 10 \quad 12 \quad 13 \quad 30 \\ \frac{5000}{x} = 500 \quad 416 \quad 384 \quad 166 \end{array}$$

$$z = 1957 \quad 753 \quad 286 \quad 3$$

$$\begin{array}{r} x = 32 \quad 34 \quad 35 \\ \frac{5000}{x} = 156 \quad 147 \quad 142 \end{array}$$

$$z = 153 \quad 181 \quad 132.$$

Hence the four roots are the one less than unity, the second between 12 and 13, the third between 32 and 33, but very nearly equal to 32, the fourth between 34 and 35, but much nearer to the latter than the former number.

Dr. Wallis and Mr. Raphson have employed themselves upon this equation; the former giving for one root $12,75644179448074402 \dots$ the latter in his nine-

* The equation for finding the numbers which make the unknown side the greatest is

$$14937 = 3996x - 240x^2 + 4x^3.$$

In this equation x cannot be a whole number, for whether x is made an odd or an even number the unknown side is an even number, and consequently not equal to 14937.

teenth

teenth problem making this root 12,75644179448111, and Baron Maferes, who is now employed upon the same equation, confirms * the solution given by Dr. Wallis.

The progress in approaching to these roots is as follows: The first root is evidently less than unity. 10 is both the easiest and most obvious number to be tried for the next root which led to the trial of 12 and 13. Hence, two of the roots were found to be the one less than unity, and the other between 12 and 13. Consequently the sum of the remaining roots being equal to the difference between 80 and the sum of the roots thus found is 67, nearly, the half of which being 33, is greater than one and less than the other remaining root. Hence 30 was tried, which led to the trial of 32, and 32 being found to be very nearly equal to one root, the other is necessarily very nearly equal to 34.

$$x^5 - 7x^4 + 20x^3 - 155x^2 = 10000.$$

The unknown side of this equation may be divided into two parts $x^5 - 7x^4$ and $20x^3 - 155x^2$. If x is less than 7, the unknown side is less than $20x^3 - 155x^2$. Also since $20x^3 - 155x^2 = x^2 \times \overline{20x - 155}$, if x is less than $\frac{155}{20}$ or 7,75, the unknown side is less than $x^5 - 7x^4$; and if when $x = 7,75$, the term $x^5 - 7x^4$ is less than 10000, the equation can have only one root, for when x is greater than 7,75 both parts $x^5 - 7x^4$ and

* In an Appendix, now in the press, to Halley's new, exact, and easie Method of finding the Roots of any Equations generally.

$20x^3 - 155x$ increase by adding \dot{x} to x , and decrease by taking \dot{x} from x . Let $x = 7,75$, then the unknown side is equal to $x^4 \times \overline{x - 7}$ or $x^4 \times \overline{7,75 - 7}$ or $,75 \times \overline{7,75}^4$, that is, it is less than $,75 \times 8^4$ or $,75 \times 4096$, and consequently is less than 10000. Therefore this equation has only one root.

The same thing may be proved by the limiting equation, which is

$$5x^4 - 28x^3 + 60x^2 = 310$$

$$\text{or } x^4 - 5,6x^3 + 12x^2 = 62.$$

It is evident, that if x is equal to or greater than 5,6, the unknown side is greater than 62, consequently the roots of this equation must, if there are more than one, be each less than 5,6. But 5,6, or a number less than 5,6 cannot be a root of the equation.

$$x^5 - 7x^4 + 20x^3 - 155x^2 = 10000$$

which can therefore have but one root.

This equation is solved by Baron Maseres in the third volume of the *Scriptores Logarithmici*, page 742, and the root determined to be very nearly equal to 8,6 or 8,5992325.

C H A P. VI.

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS.

BY the method pursued in the preceding chapters the relation between the coparts and the roots of any equation whatsoever will be discovered, and the equations of one mode in the last order of each class follow an analogy, which may easily be traced from the second order of equations of the second class to the last order of every class. This mode is when the difference between every two adjoining indexes is unity, and the highest index is the number which denominates the class to which the equation belongs. Thus $a x^n - x^m = k$ is the second order of equations of the second class; let $m = 2$ and $n = 1$, then a is equal to the sum of the roots and k is equal to the product of the roots. $x^3 - a x^2 + b x = k$ is of the third order of the third class; the highest index is the number denoting the class, and the adjoining indexes differ by unity. a is equal to the sum of the roots; b is equal to the sum of the product of each pair of roots, and k is equal to the product of the roots. $c x - b x^2 + a x^3 - x^4 = k$. Here the equation is of the last order of the fourth class, the highest index is the number denoting the class, and the adjoining indexes differ by unity. a is equal to the sum of the roots; b the sum of the products of each pair of roots; c the sum of the products of the roots taken by threes, and k the product of all the roots. Let n denote the highest index, and let the adjoining indexes differ by unity, then the equations of the last order of a class belong to one or

PART II.

Q.

other

other of these forms according as the class is denoted by an even or an odd number

$$\begin{aligned}
 & l x - \dots + \dots - \dots + \dots - d x^{n-4} + c x^{n-3} \\
 & \quad - b x^{n-2} + a x^{n-1} - x^n = k \\
 & x^n - a x^{n-1} + b x^{n-2} - c x^{n-3} + d x^{n-4} - e x^{n-5} \dots = k.
 \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, if the equation has n roots, a is equal to the sum of the roots, b is equal to the sum of the products of each pair of roots, c is equal to the sum of the products of the roots taken by threes, d is equal to the sum of the products of the roots taken by fours, and so on, and k is the product of all the roots.

The following is a general form to which every proposed equation may be made to correspond, observing only that no equation whatever can have all the lowest marks together :

$$\pm x^m \pm a x^n \pm b x^o \pm c x^p \pm d x^r \pm e x^r \dots = k.$$

The limiting equation to the above equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \pm m x^{m-1} \pm n a x^{n-1} \pm o b x^{o-1} \pm p c x^{p-1} \dots = \\
 & \quad \pm b x^{t-1} \pm v x^{v-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is the same as that adopted in pages 26, 27, 29, 67, 69.

It has been already observed, that this limiting equation will be of little use in equations of high classes. As an instance, let it be required to find the number of roots in the equation $x^8 + 4x^7 - x^6 - 10x^5 + 5x^4 - 5x^3 - 10x^2 - 10x = 5$.

The

The limiting equation is

$$8x^7 + 28x^6 - 6x^5 - 50x^4 + 20x^3 - 15x^2 - 20x = 10$$

In this case the limiting equation is of a form capable of having as many roots as the proposed equation, and it becomes now a question to find the number of roots in the limiting equation. Instead of this, let the method adopted in pages 84, 85, 86, be applied to the proposed equation.

$$x^8 + 4x^7 - x^6 - 10x^5 + 5x^4 - 5x^3 - 10x^2 - 10x = 5.$$

The unknown side may be divided into two parts $x^8 + 4x^7 - x^6 - 10x^5$ and $5x^4 - 5x^3 - 10x^2 - 10x$, and the equation is of a form capable of having three roots. Now the part $5x^4 - 5x^3 - 10x^2 - 10x$ will, if $5x^4$ is greater than the other terms, make a number to be added to the first part, and if it is less, make a number to be taken from the first part. The same may be observed of the first part if $x^8 + 4x^7$ is greater or less than $x^6 + 10x^5$. Now x must evidently be greater than unity, and $5x^4 - 5x^3 - 10x^2 - 10x$ makes a number to be taken from the first part if x is not greater than 2. But when x is equal to 2, or some number less than 2, $x^8 + 4x^7$ is greater than $x^6 + 10x^5$. Consequently some number less than 2 will make $x^8 + 4x^7$ equal to $x^6 + 10x^5$, and then every addition to x increases the part $x^8 + 4x^7 - x^6 - 10x^5$. Now if the part $10x + 10x^2 + 5x^3 - 5x^4$ decreases by the addition of x to x the whole unknown side must necessarily increase. The part $10x + 10x^2 + 5x^3 - 5x^4$ first increases and then decreases, and the limit of its increase is when

$$10 = 20x^3 - 15x^2 - 20x$$

$$\text{or } 5 = x^3 - .75x^2 - x$$

that is x is not equal to 1,2, and consequently when x is greater than 1,2 the part $10x + 10x^2 + 5x^3 - 5x^4$ constantly diminishes till $10x + 10x^2 + 5x^3$ is equal to $5x^4$, and then by adding \dot{x} to x as before, the part $5x^4 - 5x^3 - 10x^2 - 10x$ constantly increases. But when x is equal to 1,2 the unknown side of the given equation is no number at all, and consequently when the unknown side by x being a greater number, is equal to 5 the part $x^8 + 4x^7 - x^6 - 10x^5$ is increased by the addition of \dot{x} to x , and the part to be taken from it is either less than it was before, and consequently the unknown side will be greater than 5, or instead of a number to be taken away from $x^8 + 4x^7 - x^6 - 10x^5$ the other part is a number to be added to it. Therefore the unknown side is always increased by adding \dot{x} to x , or diminished by taking away \dot{x} from x and the equation can have only one root. This root is discovered by Baron Maſeres, in the *Scriptores Logarithmici*, Vol. III. p. 749, to be the only root, and to be very nearly equal to 1,618, and the equation is the highest numeral equation mentioned by Newton in his *Arithmetica Universalis*.

Let M be the number denoting the class, and N the number denoting an order in that class, then the number of orders in any class is equal to M , the number of forms in any class M is equal to $2^M - 1$, and the number of forms in any order N is equal to $M \cdot \frac{M-1}{2} \cdot \frac{M-2}{3}$,

$$\frac{M-3}{4} \dots \frac{M-N+1}{N}.$$

Thus,

Thus, let M be equal to 3, then in the third class there are three orders. Let M be equal to 100, and in the 100th class there are 100 orders.

Let M be equal to 4, then in the fourth class the number of forms is equal to $2^4 - 1$ or $16 - 1$ or 15.

Let $M = 4$ and $N = 3$, then the number of forms in the fourth class, capable of having three roots, is equal to $4 \times \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{2}{3}$ or 4. That is, there are four forms being capable of having three roots.

Let $M = 4$ and $N = 2$, then the number of forms in the fourth class, capable of having two roots, is $4 \times \frac{3}{2}$ or 6. That is, there are six forms capable of having two roots.

If the unknown term, having the highest index in an equation, is to be taken away from the rest, the number of the order to which it belongs is an even number, and consequently the greatest number of roots an equation of that form can have is an even number; but if the unknown term, having the highest index, is not to be taken away from the rest, then the order to which it belongs is an odd number, and consequently the greatest number of roots an equation of that form can have is an odd number.

Let N be the number denoting the order to which an equation belongs, then the number of the changes of the marks is equal to N or $N - 1$. Hence, by
ob-

observing the changes of the marks in any equation, the greatest number of roots which an equation of that form is capable of having is ascertained. Let the number of changes be P , then, if the term with the highest index is the first term, N is an odd number, otherwise N is an even number. If P then is an odd number, and N an even number, P is equal to $N - 1$ or N is equal to $P + 1$; but if both P and N are even numbers or odd numbers then P is equal to N .

$$\text{Let } x^3 - 16x^2 + 77x = 98.$$

x^3 being the first term, the order to which this equation belongs is denoted by an odd number, but there is an even number of changes, therefore $N = P + 1$ or 3; that is, this equation cannot have more than three roots, and it depends upon the coeppos and known term, whether it has one, two, or three roots.

$$\text{Let } x^8 + 4x^7 - x^6 - 10x^3 + 5x^4 - 5x^3 - 10x^2 - 10x = 5:$$

x^8 being the first term, the equation belongs to an order whose index is an odd number, and the number of changes in the marks is odd, therefore the order to which this equation belongs is the third; this equation cannot have more than three roots. If the equation had been

$$x^8 - 4x^7 + x^6 - 10x^3 + 5x^4 - 5x^3 + 10x^2 - 10x = 5,$$

by counting the unknown terms it appears that it belongs to the eighth class, and consequently cannot have more than eight roots: since x^8 is the first term, the number denoting the order is an odd number, and there being seven,

seven, that is an odd number of changes in the marks, the equation belongs to the seventh order, and cannot consequently have more than seven roots.

The investigation of the properties of equations is endless; it is with them as with intelligent beings. There is no limit to the number of modes of each form. There is no limit to the number of forms. There is no limit to the number of orders. There is no limit to the number of classes. Each mode has its peculiar curve. The lives of men of the first talents have been employed upon a single curve, and there are not names given to a hundred species of curves. By the class of intelligent beings next in rank above man, all these equations and all these curves are, perhaps, thoroughly understood, and the next class excels them as much as they do us. How great then must be that being to whom the thoughts of all these orders of beings are known at a moment's glance; and how insignificant in the eye of reason are those nations which lay down rules for thought and persecute for opinions.

T H E E N D.

TO BE SOLD.

PRINCIPLES OF ALGEBRA. By **WILLIAM FREND.**
First Part.

APPENDIX to the above **PRINCIPLES** of **ALGEBRA.** By
FRANCIS MASERES, Esq. Curfitor Baron of his Majesty's
Exchequer. **ROBINSONS, Paternoster Row; WHITE,**
Fleet Street; DEIGHTON, Cambridge.

A LETTER to the **VICE CHANCELLER** of the **UNI-**
VERSITY of **CAMBRIDGE.** By **WILLIAM FREND,**
Candidate for the **Lucasian Professership.** Price **6d.**
WHITE, Fleet-street; DEIGHTON and FLOWER, Cam-
bridge.

PRINCIPLES of **TAXATION.** By **WILLIAM FREND.**
Price **1s. 6d.** **RIDGEWAY, York Street, St. James's**
Square.



